

>> The Clerk: AND
WE ARE LIVE.

>> Mayor Mei: SO
GOOD EVENING.

WELCOME TO THE
JULY 13th COUNCIL
MEETING.

AND I'D LIKE TO
BEGIN WITH
COUNCILMEMBER
SALWAN IF YOU
COULD HELP LEAD US
IN THE PLEDGE,
THANK YOU.

[PLEDGE OF
ALLEGIANCE]

>> Mayor Mei: THANK
YOU.

ROLL CALL PLEASE.

>> The Clerk:
COUNCILMEMBER
COX.

>> Councilmember Cox:
HERE.

>> The Clerk:
COUNCILMEMBER
SALWAN.

>> Councilmember
Salwan: PRESENT.

>> The Clerk:
COUNCILMEMBER
KASSAN.

>> Councilmember
Kassan: HERE.

>> The Clerk:
COUNCILMEMBER
JONES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER
JONES: HERE.

>> The Clerk:
COUNCILMEMBER
KENG.

>> Councilmember Keng:
HERE.

>> The Clerk: VICE
MAYOR SHAO.

>> Councilmember Shao:
PRESENT.

>> The Clerk: MAYOR
MEI.

>> Mayor Mei: HERE.

I'D LIKE TO ASK THE
MEMBERS OF THE
PUBLIC WHO ARE
PARTICIPATING IN
THE ELECTRONIC
MEETING THIS
EVENING IF YOU

HAVE A COMMENT TO
MAKE DURING
PUBLIC COMMENT
PLEASE DO SO BY
CLICKING ON THE
RAISE HAND ICON, OR
IF YOU ARE DIALING
IN, TO DO SO BY
PRESSING STAR 9.

I'LL MAKE AN
ANNOUNCEMENT
BEFORE EACH
SECTION OF THE
AGENDA AND WE
KINDLY REQUEST
MEMBERS OF THE
PUBLIC TO PLEASE
MUTE OR TURN
DOWN BEFORE EACH
SECTION OF THE
BROADCAST WHEN
THERE'S
OPPORTUNITY TO
SPEAK AS IT MAY
CAUSE
INTERFERENCE WITH
THE SPEAKER
SYSTEM.

E MAIL MINUTES OF
THE CITY CLERK ARE
COMPILED,
DISTRIBUTED TO THE
CITY CLERK CITY
COUNCIL AND STAFF
AND PUBLISHED IN

THE CITY'S AGENDA
CENTER IN
FREMONT.GOV AND
WILL BE PLACED ON
FILE AND
CONSIDERED PART OF
THE PUBLIC RECORD.

I WILL NOW TURN
THIS MEETING OVER
TO OUR CITY
MANAGER ACTING
THIS EVENING WHICH
IS CARINA
SHACKLEFORD TO
INTRODUCE HER
STAFF AT THIS TIME.

>> GOOD EVENING,
MAYOR AND CITY
COUNCIL MEMBERS.

THIS EVENING I'M
JOINED BY OUR
INTERIM CITY
ATTORNEY, DEBRA
MARGOLIS, AND
OTHER EXECUTIVE
STAFF AND CITY
STAFF WHO ARE
AVAILABLE TO
ANSWER QUESTIONS
AND PROVIDE
ADDITIONAL
INFORMATION ON
ANY PARTICULAR
ITEMS THAT MAY

COME UP DURING
THIS EVENING.

I DON'T HAVE ANY
ANNOUNCEMENTS
FOR THE EVENING.

SO WITH THAT I WILL
TURN IT BACK OVER
TO YOU, MAYOR MEI.

>> Mayor Mei: THANK
YOU, AND I WOULD
LIKE TO ANNOUNCE
THIS MEETING WILL
GO UP UNTIL 11:30
THIS EVENING IF IT
NEEDS TO, AND I
WILL ALLOW FOR 30
MINUTES OF
GENERAL PUBLIC
COMMENTS, THREE
MINUTES PER EACH
SPEAKER, AND
ANYTHING ELSE
WE'LL ADD TO THE
END IF THE TIME
REMAINS.

THE CONSENT
CALENDAR IS NEXT,
AND THOSE ARE
ITEMS THAT WE PASS
WITH ONE COUNCIL
VOTE, AND STAFF
RECOMMENDATION
WILL STAND.

IS THERE ANY
MEMBER OF THE
PUBLIC WHO WISHES
TO PULL AN ITEM
FROM THE CONSENT
CALENDAR?

COULD WE CHECK TO
SEE WHICH ITEM
THEY WOULD LIKE
TO PULL?

>> The Clerk:
MR. BEEKMAN,
WHICH ITEM DO YOU
WANT TO REMOVE
FROM THE CONSENT
CALENDAR?

>> HI, BLAIR
BEEKMAN HERE,
ITEM C PLEASE.

>> Mayor Mei: OKAY.

SEEING ARE THERE
ANY OTHER ITEMS
THAT ARE
REQUESTED TO BE
REMOVED?

I DON'T SEE ANY.

SEEING NONE, COULD
I GET A COUNCIL
ACTION?

WHICH IS ALL
ITEMS THE

RECOMMENDATION
STANDS.

>> Councilmember Cox: I
SO MOVE, THAT WE
MOVE FORWARD IN
APPROVING THE
AGENDA WITH THE
EXCEPTION OF
PULLING 2C.

>> Councilmember Jones:
SECOND.

>> Mayor Mei: MOVED
BY COUNCILMEMBER
COX, SECONDED BY
COUNCILMEMBER
JONES.

ROLL CALL VOTE,
PLEASE.

>> The Clerk:
COUNCILMEMBER
COX.

>> Councilmember Cox:
AYE.

>> The Clerk:
COUNCILMEMBER
SALWAN.

>> Councilmember
Salwan: AYE.

>> The Clerk:
COUNCILMEMBER
KASSAN.

>> Councilmember
Kassan: AYE.

>> The Clerk:
COUNCILMEMBER
JONES.

>> Councilmember Jones:
AYE.

>> The Clerk:
COUNCILMEMBER
KENG.

>> Councilmember
Kassan: AYE.

>> The Clerk: VICE
MAYOR SHAO.

>> Councilmember Shao:
AYE.

>> THE CLERK:
MAYOR MEI.

>> Mayor Mei: AYE.

AND SO THE
CONSENT CALENDAR
PASSES
UNANIMOUSLY WITH
THE REMOVAL OF
ITEM 2C WHICH WILL
BE ADDRESSED

AFTER ORAL
COMMUNICATIONS.

THERE ARE NO
CEREMONIAL ITEMS
THIS EVENING, SO I'D
LIKE TO ANNOUNCE
ORAL
COMMUNICATIONS
ON ITEMS THAT ARE
NOT AGENDIZED THIS
EVENING AND WE
HAVE ORAL
COMMUNICATIONS
DURING OUR WORK
STUDY SESSION.

THE FIRST SPEAKER I
SEE REGARDING THIS
FOR NONAGENDIZED
ITEMS IS BLAIR
BEEKMAN.

>> HI, TO SPEAK TO
NONAGENDIZED
ITEMS TONIGHT.

IF IT'S POSSIBLE I'D
LIKE TO CONCLUDE
MY WORD A
LITTLE NOISE RIGHT
NOW, ASK SOMEONE
MUTE THEMSELVES?

I'D LIKE TO
CONCLUDE MY
WORDS FROM THE
5:30 MEETING AND

WRAP IT ALL INTO
THIS PUBLIC
COMMENT TIME
ORAL
COMMUNICATION
TIME.

AND TO SIMPLY ADD
TOO THAT YOU
KNOW, FROM SOME
BACKWARDS
THINKING FROM AB
1139, BASICALLY WAS
MEANT TO END THE
SOLAR AND STORAGE
INDUSTRY FOR THE
NEXT FEW YEARS.

YOU KNOW,
ADVOCACY IN
ALAMEDA COUNTY
WITH THE HELP OF
THE EBCE AND
PUSHING THE EBCE
REALLY WAS ABLE
TO CREATE DEMANDS
THAT WE CAN WORK
TOWARDS OUR
RENEWABLE FUTURE
AT THIS TIME AND
WE'RE DOING THAT
NOW AND IT'S A
REALLY INTERESTING
EFFORT.

AND WE MAY
POSSIBLY BE FACING
SOME SERIOUS

NATURAL DISASTER
ISSUES IN THE BAY
AREA IN THE NEXT
FEW YEARS.

I DON'T KNOW HOW
ACCURATE THAT IS,
BUT IF IT'S TRUE,
EVEN IF THAT IS THE
CASE, WE ARE STILL
PUTTING OUR BEST
FOOT FORWARD, OUR
BEST WORK
FORWARD AND IF WE
DO THAT, THAT IS
WHAT CAN HELP
TRANSITION OUT OF
ANY POSSIBLE
UPCOMING NATURAL
DISASTERS.

IF WE CYNICALLY SIT
AROUND AND SAY
WELL IF WE GOT
PROBLEMS COMING
UP LET'S BUILD OUR,
YOU KNOW, THE
WORST PARTS OF
OURSELVES, OUR
DIRTY FOSSIL FUELS,
OUR NON OPEN
PUBLIC POLICY
IDEAS, YOU KNOW,
THE WEATHER ITEMS
THAT ARE REALLY
WORKING ON YOU
KNOW OUR EQUITY,

OUR BETTER EQUITY
AND REIMAGINE
IDEAS FOR INSTANCE,
IF WE DON'T, WE
DON'T HAVE TO
CONCENTRATE ON
THAT BECAUSE YOU
KNOW, THERE'S
UPCOMING
PROBLEMS COMING
UP.

I SAY THAT'S THE
WRONG ATTITUDE.

IF WE REALLY PUT
OUR BEST WORK
FORWARD RIGHT
NOW IT CAN HELP US
TRANSITION OUT OF
ANY PROBLEMS IN
THE FUTURE.

THEY'RE NOT
THINKING THAT WAY
IN SACRAMENTO AND
THAT IS WHAT 1139
WAS ABOUT.

WE'VE CORRECT
THEY'D AND WITH
THE HELP OF THE
EBCE AND
ADVOCACY, THE
EBCE WAS HAVING
THEIR OWN
PROBLEMS WITH THIS

ISSUE BACK IN LAST
OCTOBER.

THEY WORKED ON IT.

I THINK THEY
BROUGHT THOSE
EXAMPLES TO THE
STATE LEVEL AND
IT'S NOW TIME WE
TALK TO THE CPUC
WHO I THINK MAY BE
DOING SOME OF THE
SAME THING.

WE CAN REALLY
BUILD OUR
RENEWABLE FUTURE
HERE.

AND EBCE IS REALLY
GIVING IT A REALLY
POSITIVE CHANCE TO
DO THAT.

I CAN'T THANK
YOURSELVES
ENOUGH FOR THOSE
EFFORTS AND WORK.

AND IT'S AN EFFORT
FROM ALL OF US AND
IT'S POSITIVE AND
IT'S HOPEFUL AND
THAT IS OUR FUTURE.

LET'S BE POSITIVE
AND HOPEFUL TILT.

LET'S THINK OF OUR
BETTER IDEALS AND
BETTER PRACTICES.

IT WILL GET US
THROUGH THE
DIFFICULT TIMES.

LET'S NOT MAKE IT
WORSE FOR
OURSELVES.

THANKS.

>> Mayor Mei: THANK
YOU.

THE NEXT SPEAKER IS
SILVER SONIC FOR
PUBLIC COMMENTS
AND THESE ARE FOR
ITEMS THAT ARE NOT
AGENDIZED THIS
EVENING.

>> GOOD EVENING.

I'M INVITING
EVERYONE ON THIS
CALL TO JOIN IN A
50 STATES
DISCUSSION OF WHAT
IT MEANS TO BE A DE
JURE FORM OF
GOVERNMENT
RATHER THAN A DE
FACTO FORM OF
GOVERNMENT.

THE CONVERSATION
WILL TAKE PLACE
STARTING AUGUST
30th, 2021 AND TO
FIND MORE
INFORMATION
PLEASE GO TO
WWW.DEJURE4SURE.
COM.

AND THAT IS
SPELLED
D E J U R E, THE
NUMBER 4,
S U R E.COM.

AND WE LOOK
FORWARD TO THE
EXCHANGE THEN.

AND THAT'S ALL I
HAVE TO SAY.

THANK YOU.

>> Mayor Mei: THANK
YOU.

OKAY.

THAT IS THE LAST OF OUR PUBLIC COMMENTS.

AT THIS TIME, I'LL TURN IT FOR ITEMS THAT WERE PULLED FROM THE CONSENT
CALENDAR.

AND I BELIEVE THAT WAS ITEM 2C, AS IN CAT.

>> Councilmember Cox: SO MOVE 2C.

>> Mayor Mei: NO, WE'RE ACTUALLY GOING TO HAVE THE SPEAKER FOR ITEM 2C.

>> Councilmember Cox: OH, OKAY.

>> Mayor Mei: WHICH IS BLAIR BEEKMAN.

SO BLAIR, YOU CAN SPEAK FIRST.

>> THANK YOU.

HI.

BLAIR BEEKMAN HERE, THANK YOU FOR THIS ITEM.

YOU KNOW, WE'RE COMING OUT OF COVID.

THROUGH THE WHOLE TIME OF COVID, IT WAS, I THINK, BIKE TRAIL ISSUES AND WALKABLE PATHWAYS AND YOU KNOW, THAT WORK WAS ABLE TO CONTINUE DURING THE TIME OF COVID, AND IT WAS WORK THAT WE WERE LIKE ALREADY DOING ABOUT BETTER SUSTAINABLE PRACTICES FOR OUR FUTURE.

WE WERE DOING THIS STUFF WAY BEFORE COVID-19.

AND YOU KNOW, IT'S JUST A LITTLE SECRET FOR PEOPLE WORKING ON THESE SORT OF THINGS.

WE ARE BUILDING OUR SUSTAINABLE FUTURE.

WE'RE APOLOGIZING FOR OUR ERROR OF 9/11.

YOU KNOW THE WORK I DO WITH OPEN ACCOUNTABILITY WITH TECHNOLOGY PRACTICES AND DATA COLLECTION, IT'S ALL PART OF A PLAN TO SIMPLY END THE ERA OF 9/11 AND WORK TOWARDS IDEAS OF PEACE TO ADDRESS OUR QUESTIONS INSTEAD OF USING WARFARE.

IT'S USING PEACE AND OPEN DEMOCRATIC PRACTICES.

AN AMAZING CONCEPT.

WE'RE TRYING TO DO THAT AND NEGOTIATE AND HAVE GOOD DIALOGUE INSTEAD OF HARMING EACH OTHER AND KEEPING EVERYTHING SECRETIVE AND AWAY FROM EACH OTHER.

YOU KNOW, WITH COVID, IT WAS JUST SHOCKING THAT IT'S HAPPENED, CONSIDERING WHAT WE'RE BUILDING, WHAT OUR FUTURE IS RIGHT NOW.

THIS IS WHAT EQUITY AND REIMAGINE ARE ABOUT.

AND IT IS TO BUILD A MORE PEACEFUL, SUSTAINABLE FUTURE FOR OURSELVES AS A COMMUNITY, AND HOPEFULLY THAT CAN GROW INTO SOCIETAL QUESTIONS FOR OTHER NATIONS OF THIS EARTH TO REFLECT UPON.

AND THAT'S THE WAY WE BUILD THE FUTURE OF PEACE BASICALLY.

SO THANKS FOR THIS ITEM TODAY.

THE SUSTAINABLE PRACTICES, THIS IS GOOD COMMUNITY SUSTAINABILITY IDEAS THAT WE ALL BELIEVE IN, AND I THINK ACCOMPLISH MUCH MORE IN LONG TERM SOCIAL PLANNING IDEAS THAN HAVING WARFARE, CREATING THE PANDEMICS OR CREATING WILDFIRE SITUATIONS IN THE PG&E REALM.

SO WE'RE ADDRESSING THE WORLD IN MORE PEACEFUL TERMS.

WE HAVE PEACEFUL SOLUTIONS TO WORK TOWARDS OUR BETTER FUTURE.

IT DOESN'T HAVE TO INVOLVE HURTING OTHER PEOPLE ANYMORE.

HOW DO WE LEARN TO DO THAT TOGETHER?

I THINK OPEN PUBLIC POLICY IDEAS WITH TECHNOLOGY HELP WITH THAT, EQUITY AND REIMAGINE IDEAS HELP WITH THAT, CERTAINLY THESE BICYCLE PROGRAMS DO, AND THESE BICYCLE PROJECTS AND THEY'RE REALLY IMPORTANT FOR OUR FUTURE, OUR SUSTAINABLE FUTURE.

I'VE BEEN LEARNING REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IDEAS, THE IMPORTANCE OF THAT.

GREEN IDEAS.

I HOPE WE CAN BE OPEN TO OPEN THINKING AND TO BE OPEN WITH OURSELVES AND WITH GOOD THINKING.

THANK YOU.

>> Mayor Mei: THANK YOU.

NEXT I'D LIKE TO CALL ON COUNCILMEMBER RAJ SALWAN.

COUNCILMEMBER SALWAN?

>> Councilmember Salwan: THANK YOU, MADAME MAYOR.

I WANT TO COMMEND STAFF ON THE GOOD EFFORT ON THE BIKE AND PED PLAN.

IN READING THE AGENDA, THE ONLY CONCERN I HAD WAS THAT ONE OF THE ITEMS WAS NARROWING PASEO PADRE FROM DRISCOLL TO WASHINGTON.

SO I KNOW THERE'S A LOT OF CONCERN AT THE TIME, WE GOT LOTS OF EMAILS AND I SORT OF FEEL UNCOMFORTABLE JUST VOTING IT THROUGH WITHOUT EITHER FURTHER HEARING OR SOME MORE FEEDBACK FROM OTHER COUNCILMEMBERS.

BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO HAVE ANOTHER RANCHO SITUATION.

SO BECAUSE IF WE PASS IT THROUGH AND THEN PEOPLE COME BACK AND SAY, HEY, WHY DID YOU GUYS DO THIS, AND SOMETIMES THESE ITEMS ON CONSENT CAN SAIL THROUGH WITHOUT PROPER DIALOGUE AND DISCUSSION, AND THAT WAS A LOT OF ANGST FOR THE COMMUNITY.

SO I JUST WANTED TO OPEN THAT UP AND SEE IF THERE'S ANY OTHER FEEDBACK ON THAT.

>> Mayor Mei: CERTAINLY.

NEXT, COUNCILMEMBER JONES, I SEE YOU HAVE YOUR HAND RAISED ON THIS ITEM.

>> Councilmember Jones: THANK YOU, MADAME MAYOR.

THIS IS ACTUALLY A -- THIS SPECIFIC STRETCH OF PASEO PADRE BETWEEN WASHINGTON AND DRISCOLL IS SOMETHING THAT I DISCUSSED WITH STAFF A COUPLE YEARS AGO WHEN IT WAS STARTING THE PROCESS OF NARROWING TO ONE LANE EACH WAY BACK THEN.

I HAVE SOME MAJOR CONCERNS OVER THAT, AND I SHARED THOSE WITH STAFF A COUPLE YEARS AGO THAT, YOU KNOW, FROM TEMPLE BETH TORAH, WHICH IS, I BELIEVE, .7 OR .9 MILES, I DON'T RECALL WHICH, TO THE ON-RAMP OF WASHINGTON AND 680 IN COMMUTE TRAFFIC TOOK 40 MINUTES.

THAT WAS WITH TWO LANES OF TRAFFIC EACH WAY.

PASEO PADRE IS A MAJOR THOROUGHFARE.

IT IS TWO LANES.

EVERYWHERE THROUGH THE CITY WITH THE EXCEPTION BETWEEN PINE STREET AS IT GOES SOUTH AND CROSSES DURHAM AND THROUGH THE GRIMMER AND PARK MEADOW NEIGHBORHOODS, BUT EVEN WHEN IT HITS MISSION BOULEVARD AGAIN AND GOES UP INTO THE FOOTHILLS, IT BECOMES TWO LANES AGAIN.

AND THIS IS A MAJOR SOURCE OF RELIEVING OUR TRAFFIC CONGESTION ON MISSION BOULEVARD, HAVING THESE TWO LANES AVAILABLE.

IF THERE IS SOME WAY THAT WE CAN INCLUDE A BIKE LANE IN THERE THAT IS APPROPRIATE WITHOUT NARROWING TO TWO LANES IN EACH WAY, I WOULD BE ALL IN FAVOR OF THAT.

BUT AT THIS POINT, I THINK IT REALLY NEEDS SOME FURTHER DISCUSSION TO OPEN IT UP TO COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT AND TO THE OTHER COUNCILMEMBERS AS WELL.

THANK YOU.

>> Mayor Mei: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

I SEE ALSO COUNCILMEMBER SHAO RAISING HIS HAND.

I'LL HAVE MY COMMENTS AFTER HIM.

>> Vice Mayor Shao: I WILL ECHO THE CONCERNS OF COUNCILMEMBER SALWAN AND COUNCILMEMBER JONES ESPECIALLY ON THE OUTREACH TO THE COMMUNITIES.

IT WAS NOT THE FIRST TIME THIS CHANGE WAS PROPOSED AND LAST TIME WE GOT A LOT OF STRONG FEEDBACK FROM THE COMMUNITY.

THEREFORE, THIS TIME I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE IT SLOW AND REALLY CONSULT -- NOT CONSULT, NOT -- JUST REACH OUT AND COMMUNICATE WITH THE COMMUNITY, THEREFORE, THEY FEEL THAT THEY PARTICIPATED IN THE WHOLE PROCESS.

THANK YOU.

>> Mayor Mei: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER KASSAN.

>> Councilmember Kassan: I STRONGLY DISAGREE.

WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT THIS FOR YEARS.

WE'VE PUT IT ON HOLD ALREADY.

THIS IS A MAJOR SAFETY HAZARD FOR PEDESTRIANS.

I GET CONTACTED BY PEOPLE IN THAT AREA WANTING THE STREET TO BE NARROWED AND MADE SAFER FOR PEDESTRIANS WHO LIVE NEARBY, SO -- AND I HOPE HANS WILL MAYBE RESPOND TO THE CONCERNS THAT THERE WASN'T COMMUNITY OUTREACH BECAUSE MY UNDERSTANDING IS THERE'S BEEN EXTENSIVE COMMUNITY OUTREACH.

>> Mr. Larsen: MAYOR MEI, I'M HAPPY TO ADDRESS ANY QUESTIONS, UNLESS THERE'S OTHER COUNCIL COMMENT.

>> Mayor Mei: I HAVE A COMMENT ON THAT TOO.

I WOULD ECHO THAT I UNDERSTAND THE NEED ABSOLUTELY AND WE'VE HAD SEVERAL MAJOR INCIDENTS THERE BUT I WOULD LIKE TO EXPLORE OTHER TRAFFIC-CALMING MEASURES BECAUSE WHAT I'M A LITTLE CONCERNED ABOUT IS WE'VE HAD A LOT OF CUT-THROUGH TRAFFIC IN THAT AREA, AND THAT HAS OVERFLOWED INTO NOT JUST DISTRICT 5, DISTRICT 4 AND ALSO DISTRICT 6 IN TERMS OF ON THE CORNERS THERE ON WASHINGTON BOULEVARD, SO I TOO WOULD ECHO IF WE HAD THAT OPTION TO FIND OTHER TRAFFIC-CALMING MEASURES THAT WOULD ALSO HAVE THE BIKE CAPACITY THAT WOULD BE SOMETHING OF INTEREST TO ME.

WHETHER IT'S -- I KNOW IN SOME AREAS, WE'VE TRIED THINGS LIKE OTHER SPEED MITIGATION MEASURES.

I KNOW PASEO PADRE IS A MAJOR THOROUGHFARE, BUT PERHAPS THERE'S OTHER WAYS THAT WE CAN HAVE TRAFFIC-CALMING THROUGH SPEED HUMPS OR OTHER THINGS THAT WE COULD CONSIDER THAT.

SO MR. LARSEN, PLEASE PROCEED.

>> Mr. Larsen: YES, THANKS, MAYOR MEI, AND MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL.

LET ME JUST KIND OF PROVIDE A LITTLE BIT OF PERSPECTIVE ON THIS PARTICULAR PROJECT AND AS THE COUNCIL RECALLS, THERE'S SOME HISTORY ASSOCIATED WITH THIS, WE HAD PROPOSED TO DO THIS IN 2019, SO I THINK IT'S PROBABLY LIKE A YEAR AND A HALF OR SO AGO,

AND IT DID GENERATE SOME CONTROVERSY AT THAT TIME AND THERE WERE DISCUSSIONS ABOUT SORT OF DEFERRING THE PROJECT PRIMARILY UNTIL WE COULD SORT OF ADDRESS THE TRAFFIC CONGESTION

THAT WAS OCCURRING AT WASHINGTON, PASEO, THAT WAS CAUSED BY COMMUTERS TRYING TO GET ON TO 680.

LAND WAS EXPERIENCING WAS A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF CUTS THROUGH TRAFFIC THAT WAS USING PASEO PADRE.

DEVELOPING THE CORRIDOR AS CLOSING THE GAP IN A BIKEWAY NETWORK AND ALSO PROVIDING TRAFFIC CALMING FOR THE NEIGHBORHOOD ALONG PASEO PADRE PARKWAY, WHICH WE'VE HEARD, YOU KNOW MANY CONCERNS ABOUT AND THE SPEEDING TRAFFIC THAT GOES THROUGH THERE.

SO WE DEFERRED IT
UNTIL WE COULD SEE
IF WE WOULD GET
THE BENEFITS THAT
WE EXPECTED FROM
680 WIDENING
PROJECT.

WE HAD BEEN
CLOSELY
MONITORING THIS
CORRIDOR IN TERMS
OF TRAFFIC
VOLUMES AND
BACKUP.

AND I ACTUALLY
DROVE IT IN THE
LAST WEEK.

AND REALLY WAS
AMAZED TO SEE HOW
MUCH BETTER THAT
CORRIDOR IS DUE TO
THE WIDENING OF
680.

SO WE DON'T HAVE
THE LONG AMOUNT
OF COMMUTE.

ACTUALLY, THERE IS
STILL A LOT OF
CONGESTION ON 680,
YOU DON'T HAVE THE
TRAVEL TIME
BENEFIT THAT
PEOPLE JUSTIFIED TO

GET IN CUTTING
THROUGH MISSION
AND PASEO PADRE
PARKWAY AS A CUT
THROUGH FROM 680.

I THINK AS STAFF WE
BELIEVE THAT THIS IS
AN IMPORTANT
PROJECT.

THERE IS NOT THE
AMOUNT OF VOLUME
ON PASEO PADRE
PARKWAY IN
JUSTIFYING IT BEING
A FOUR LANE
ROADWAY.

PART OF OUR
MULTIMODAL
TRANSPORTATION
PLANNING IS
LOOKING AT WHAT
ARE CORRIDORS
THAT WERE REALLY
OVERBUILT IN THE
PAST, THAT WE CAN
MODIFY AND MAKE
THEM MORE
MULTIMODAL AND
SAFE.

AND SO I THINK IT'S
OUR STAFF
RECOMMENDATION
TO DO THIS PROJECT.

I THINK OUR BICYCLE
COMMUNITIES IS
EXPECTING IT.

I BELIEVE THERE IS
STRONG SUPPORT
WITHIN THE
NEIGHBORHOOD AND
THE PAST CONCERNS
THAT HAVE BEEN
RAISED BY FOLKS
COMMUTING IN THE
CORRIDOR HAS
LARGELY BEEN
ALLEVIATED WITH
THE IMPROVEMENTS
ONTO THE FREEWAY.

I'M HAPPY TO TAKE
ANY OTHER
QUESTIONS THAT
COUNCIL HAS.

>> Mayor Mei: I THINK
WE ALSO HAVE
COMMENTS FROM
COUNCILMEMBER
COX.

BUT I ALSO JUST
WANTED TO SAY,
WE'RE JUST
RETURNING TO THE
WORKFORCE, I'D LIKE
TO GET A BETTER
VISIBILITY AS WE SEE
HOW THAT'S BEEN
TRANSITIONING.

IT'S BEEN A LITTLE
BIT ARTIFICIALLY
SUPPRESSED AT THIS
TIME.

AS WE RETURN TO
THE OFFICE I THINK
THAT MIGHT
EXCHANGE.

COUNCILMEMBER
COX DO YOU HAVE
COMMENTS ON THIS?

>> Councilmember Cox:
YES I DO.

I THINK IT'S BELONG
THE LINES THAT IF
WE LOOK AT SOME
OF THE STUDIES
THAT WERE DONE IN
2019, CAN
PRE PANDEMIC, TO
MAKE SURE WE HAVE
SOME REALITY
CHECKS BUT ALSO
WE PROBABLY NEED
SOME MORE TIME TO
BE ABLE TO TAKE A
LOOK AT THERE.

AND I REALLY
APPRECIATE MY
FELLOW CITY
COUNCIL MEMBERS
TO VOICING SOME OF
THE THINGS THAT

HAVE BEEN TAKEN IN
THE PAST AND PUT
INTO
CONSIDERATION.

I THINK KIND OF
REALISTICALLY, TO
DO IT RIGHT NOW,
IT'S A LITTLE
PREMATURE IN KIND
OF LEADING TO
THAT.

THE SECOND PART IS
I'M ALSO WONDERING
BY DOING THESE
ACTIONS AND THAT
ARE BEING LAID OUT
RIGHT NOW.

HOW IS THAT GOING
TO CAN IMPACT THE
IRVINGTON BART
STATION AND THAT
IS ALL KIND OF
GOING IN THAT
DIRECTION AND IS
THERE THEN SOME
CONSIDERATION AS
YOU'RE NEARING THE
ROADS THAT THERE
ARE THINGS THAT
PEOPLE ARE GOING
TO EXPECT TO BE
ABLE TO GOAT BART
AND PARK THERE
EVEN IF THOSE GO

ONTO THE
FREEWAYS.

ABOUT IF YOU COULD
ADD A LITTLE BIT
MORE ON YOUR
THOUGHTS ON THAT
PART AND WHERE IS
THAT PUT INTO THE
PICTURE?

>> Hans Larsen: YEAH,
SO I THINK TO SORT
OF GET BACK TO THE
PRIMARY CONCERN
IN THE PAST, AND WE
DID DO MAILERS TO
THE COMMUNITY
WITHIN THE
CORRIDOR WHETHER
THE PROJECT WAS
PREVIOUSLY
PROPOSED.

WE STILL HAVE AN
ACTIVE WEB PAGE
FOR THE PROJECT.

SO I THINK IN TERMS
OF JUST OVERALL
KIND OF TRAFFIC,
AGAIN, AND I'D HAVE
TO KINDS OF GO
BACK AND SORT OF
TAKE A LOOK AT THE
NUMBERS.

BUT THE CONCERN IN
THE PAST WAS
LARGELY DRIVEN BY
THE LONG QUEUES
THAT WERE BACKED
UP ON THE 680
WASHINGTON
INTERCHANGE AND
BACKED UP FOR
LONG DISTANCES ON
PASEO, PEOPLE
LOOKING FOR A
SHORTCUT THROUGH
FREMONT STREETS
ON 680.

IF THAT TRAFFIC
ISN'T THERE, AND
THEN THERE REALLY
IS NO ISSUE IN TERMS
OF YOU KNOW THE
NEED FOR FOUR
LANES FOR TRAFFIC
ON PASEO IN THIS
COMMUNITY.

THAT LEAVES ROOM
FOR GROWTH
AROUND FUTURE
BART STATION AND
TRAFFIC PATTERNS.

WE ALSO WANT TO
PROVIDE A REALLY
GOOD ACCESS FOR
PEOPLE WALKING
AND BIKING TO OUR
TRANSIT STATIONS

AND THIS CERTAINLY
IS KIND OF A
CRITICAL GAP IN THE
NECK WORK IN THE
AREA.

IF LET ME KIND OF
OFFER A COUPLE OF
ALTERNATIVES FOR
COUNCIL ON THE
SITE.

THIS IS ONE OF 12
PROJECTS WITHIN A
CONTRACT THAT
WE'RE PROPOSING TO
AWARD HERE.

WE'D BE HAPPY TO
PROVIDE A
FOLLOW UP REPORT
ON THIS PARTICULAR
TOPIC AND IF THAT'S
BENEFICIAL TO
DECIDE YES OR NO
FROM THIS
PARTICULAR
PROJECT.

FROM A CRUDE
MANAGEMENT
PERSPECTIVE.

THE CONTRACTOR
DID BID ON THIS BUT
WE DO HAVE
FLEXIBILITY TO PULL
PART OF THE SCOPE

OF WORK OUTS OF
THE CONTRACT, IF
THAT'S A DIRECTION
THAT THE COUNCIL
DECIDES.

WE COULD DO THE
TWO WAYS, DECIDE
WHETHER WEE WANT
TO DO IT OR NOT, I
THINK THERE ARE A
LOT OF MEMBERS OF
THE COMMUNITY
THAT ARE I THINK
VERY MUCH
LOOKING FORWARD
TO THIS PROJECT
GETTING DONE.

I THINK THEY WOULD
LIKE AN
OPPORTUNITY TO
SPEAK WITH
COUNCIL AS WELL AS
OTHERS WHO 98 BE
CONCERNED,
CONTINUE TO HAVE
CONCERNS ABOUT
TRAFFIC CAPACITY IN
THE AREA.

AND SO WE COULD
BRING THIS BACK
CERTAINLY WITHIN
THE DURATION OF
THIS CONTRACT TO
HAVE A DISCUSSION
WITH THE COUNCIL

OR THE COMMUNITY
ON THIS PARTICULAR
PROJECT.

SO I GUESS MY
RECOMMENDATION
IF THERE'S
EITHER WE COULD
HAVE THIS GO
FORWARD, WITH
SUBJECT TO THE
PROJECT HAVING A
FURTHER
COMMUNITY REVIEW,
THAT KEEP
TONIGHT THERE,
REWARD THE
CONTRACT AS IT IS,
OR PROVIDE
DIRECTION NOW TO
PULL THAT FROM THE
CONTRACT, KEEP IT
MOVING FORWARD
BUT PRESERVE AN
OPTION TO ALLOW
CONSIDERATION OF
BRINGING IT BACK
BASED ON FURTHER
COMMUNITIES
REVIEW AND
COUNCIL
DISCUSSION.

BUT OFFER THAT AS
KIND OF COUPLE
WAYS TO GO.

>> Mayor Mei: THANK YOU.

>> I'M SORRY, MAYOR MEI, I WANTED TO THANK HANS FOR PROVIDING THOSE OPTIONS AND I THINK ONCE YOU ALL GET TO A POINT OF MAKING A MOTION YOU CAN DECIDE HOW YOU WANT TO HANDLE IT MOVING FORWARD THROUGH THE MOTION.

>> Mayor Mei: WONDERFUL.

THANK YOU HANS FOR THE NUMBER OF DIFFERENT OPTIONS.

COUNCILMEMBER JONES YOU HAVE YOUR HAND RAISED.

>> Councilmember Jones: THANK YOU, MADAM MAYOR.

HANS HOW WIDE ARE THE LANES ON PASEO PADRE THERE?

>> Hans Larsen: TYPICALLY, KIND OF

HISTORICAL,
FREMONT HAD VERY
WIDE LANES.

SECTIONS OF PASEO
PADRE PARKWAY
HAD LANES THAT
WERE 12 TO 14 FEET
WIDE.

GENERALLY WE
HAVE BEEN LOOKING
TO NARROW THEM
FOR SPEED
MANAGEMENT.

IN THIS PARTICULAR
AREA, THERE'S TWO
LANES AND
ON STREET PARKING
AND SO THERE ISN'T
AN OPPORTUNITY TO
GET ANY TO
ALLOW ANY BICYCLE
TRAFFIC THROUGH
HERE UNLESS YOU
REMOVED ONE OF
THE LANES.

AND SO THE LANE
WOULD COME THE
BIKE LANE WOULD
BE A STANDARD BIKE
LANE PLUS A BUFFER.

AND WHAT WE FIND
IN THESE KIND OF
SITUATIONS WHERE

THAT THE VOLUMES
OF TRAFFIC ARE WAY
UNDER ARE LESS
THAN WHAT THE
ROADWAY IS LAID
OUT FOR.

THEY BECOME SPEED
WAYS AND PEOPLE,
YOU KNOW, USE THE
EXTRA LANE FOR
PASSING, AND IT
CREATES A CONCERN
FOR PEOPLE THAT
LIVE ON THE STREET,
THAT HAVE
DRIVEWAYS THAT
BACK OUT TO IT.

SOME OF THE SIDE
STREETS.

WE'VE HAD
CONCERNS
WITH CONCERNS
WITH PEOPLE THAT
ARE TRYING TO
WALK OR BIKE TO
THE NEIGHBORHOOD
SCHOOL, THEY'RE
HAVING TO CROSS
PASEO PADRE
PARKWAY HERE AND
HAVING TO DEAL
WITH HIGH SPEED
AND TWO LANES OF
TRAFFIC.

AND SO THOSE
ARE YEAH, SO I
DON'T KNOW OFF
HAND BUT IF THERE
IS IF COUNCIL WOULD
LIKE FOLLOW UP WE
CAN PROVIDE
ANSWERS TO
WHATEVER
QUESTIONS THAT
YOU HAVE.

BUT BASICALLY
THERE IS NOT
ENOUGH WIDTH
THERE TO NARROW
THE LANES AND FIT
IN A BIKE LANE IF
THAT IS KIND OF THE
INTENT BEHIND
YOUR QUESTION.

>> Councilmember Jones:
SO I'M TRYING TO
RECALL, AND I
HONESTLY HAVEN'T
DRIVEN THERE IN A
LITTLE BIT.

BUT IS THERE
ON STREET PARKING
ALLOWED ON PASEO
PADRE PARKWAY?

>> Hans Larsen: YES,
THERE IS FULL ON
RESIDENTIAL THERE
AND THEY HAVE

ALLOWED
ON STREET PARKING.

>> Councilmember Jones:
WHAT I HAVE SEEN
ON GOOGLE EARTH,
THERE ARE
SIDEWALKS BOTH
SIDES, AND I DON'T
SEE AN EFFORT
THERE FOR
CHANGING THAT.

AND IT LOOKS TO ME
LIKE IF THE NUMBER
ONE LANES ARE 12
FEET THE NUMBER
TWO LANES ARE
CLOSER TO 16 MAYBE
18 FEET JUST
EYEBALLING IT ON
GOOGLE EARTH.

AND WHEN WE DO
COMPLETE STREETS
YOU'RE NARROWING
IT TO TEN FEET IS
THAT CORRECT?

>> Hans Larsen: YES,
TEN FEET AND WE
WILL KEEP AN EXTRA
FOOT NEXT TO A
RAISED ISLAND.

>> Councilmember Jones:
OKAY.

I'VE GOT MY CONCERNS ABOUT US COMING OUT OF THE PANDEMIC AND THE AMOUNT OF TRAFFIC THAT IS GOING TO BE GENERATED.

AND AS COUNCILMEMBER COX BROUGHT UP THE AMOUNT OF TRAFFIC THAT MAY OR MAY NOT WE DON'T KNOW BE GENERATED FOR THE IRVINGTON BART STATION, BUT THAT IS CERTAINLY A CONSIDERATION.

BUT AGAIN IT IS A MAJOR THOROUGHFARE, USED BY A NUMBER OF PEOPLE, SO I DON'T THINK THEY PERSONALLY SAVE ANY TIME BUT THEY DON'T WANT TO SIT ON MISSION BOULEVARD DO GET ON 680.

IF THERE WERE SOME WAY TO NARROW THE LANES TO AN ACCEPTABLE WIDTH,

IF IT'S THE TEN FOOT
THAT IS THE
STANDARD AND
INCORPORATE A
BICYCLE LANE INTO
IT, I THINK THAT
WOULD BE MUCH
MORE IN FAVOR OF
THIS.

I WOULD BE IN
FAVOR OF PULLING
THIS PARTICULAR
CONTRACT AND
BRINGING IT BACK
FOR DISCUSSION.

>> Mayor Mei:
ANOTHER COMMENT?

COUNCILMEMBER
SALWAN.

>> Councilmember
Salwan: THANK YOU.

FIRST I'M IMPRESSED
BY COUNCILMEMBER
JONES GETTING AN
IMPRESSION OF THE
WIDTH OF THE LANES
FROM GOOGLE.

I TOO WOULD LIKE
TO BE SEE IF THERE'S
A WAIT TO PRESERVE
THE BIKE LANES BY
TRYING TO KEEP THE

TWO LANES, IF
THERE'S A WAY TO
FIND THAT MIDDLE
GROUND.

YOU KNOW IT'S
CALLED PASEO
PADRE PARKWAY.

SO IT'S OUR MAJOR
THOROUGHFARE FOR
THE CITY.

I'M CONCERNED THAT
DURING THE
PANDEMIC PEOPLE
ARE STILL NOT
WORKING, WE DON'T
HAVE THE PEAK
TRAFFIC RIGHT NOW.

WE NEED TO SEE
HOW MUCH DOES
TRAFFIC GO UP
BEFORE WE GO
FURTHER.

THE OTHER
QUESTION I HAD
WAS, ALL THOSE
PEOPLE THAT SIGNED
UP FOR THE E MAILS,
WERE THEY ALERTED
FOR THESE
MEETINGS?

WERE THEY NOTIFIED
ABOUT THIS

MEETING, ABOUT
THIS ITEM?

>> Mayor Mei: SO
ACTUALLY WHY
DON'T WE GO
THROUGH AND I'M
NOT GOING TO
INTERRUPT YOU,
COUNCILMEMBER
SALWAN BUT I THINK
WE ARE GOING TO
ASK OUR QUESTIONS
AND ALLOW STAFF
TO ANSWER AT ONCE.

I DON'T KNOW
COUNCILMEMBER
SALWAN IF YOU
HAVE ADDITIONAL
QUESTIONS BUT I'M
GOING DO LET HANS
AND STAFF ANSWER
ALL AT ONCE.

>> Councilmember
Salwan: THAT WAS MY
QUESTION.

>> Mayor Mei:
COUNCILMEMBER
KASSAN DO YOU A
QUESTION OR
COMMENT?

>> Councilmember
Kassan: A COMMENT.

I REALLY, REALLY
HOPE WE WILL NOT
GO IN THE DIRECTION
OF DELAYING THIS
AGAIN.

THIS IS SOMETHING
THAT PEOPLE IN
THAT
NEIGHBORHOOD
HAVE BEEN WAITING
FOR, FOR A REALLY
LONG TIME.

WE HAVE
PROFESSIONALS
THAT ARE TELLING
US WE DON'T NEED
TWO LANES AND
ALSO A
PROFESSIONAL
THAT'S TELLING US
THERE'S NO WAY TO
HAVE A BIKE LANE
AND TWO LANES OF
TRAFFIC.

AS MUCH AS WE WISH
THAT COULD BE, WE
HAVE BEEN TOLD
THAT'S NOT
POSSIBLE.

AND WE HAVE TO,
EVERY TIME WE
MAKE DECISIONS
LIKE THIS, WE HAVE
TO CHOOSE BETWEEN

IS IT MORE
IMPORTANT FOR THE
CARS DRIVING ON
THE STREETS TO GO A
FEW YOU KNOW
GET TO THEIR
DESTINATION LIKE
ONE OR TWO
MINUTES FASTER OR
IS IT MORE
IMPORTANT FOR THE
PEDESTRIANS AND
THE NEIGHBORHOOD
WHO WANT TO CROSS
THE STREET TO NOT
GET KILLED BY A
SPEEDING CAR?

IS IT MORE
IMPORTANT FOR
PEOPLE THAT LIVE IN
THE NEIGHBORHOOD
TO NOT HAVE THAT
LOUD NOISE AND
THAT
UNPLEASANTNESS OF
LIVING NEXT TO
THAT SPEEDWAY FOR
BICYCLISTS?

YOU KNOW FOR THE
PEOPLE THAT
ARE EVERYONE
WHO IS NOT IN A CAR
YOU KNOW WHICH IS
A LOT OF PEOPLE.

SO I JUST FEEL LIKE
IT'S DISTURBING
THAT PEOPLE ARE SO
CONCERNED ABOUT
THE PEOPLE WHO
ARE NOT IN THEIR
CARS.

WE HAVE MADE A
COMMITMENT TO
PRIORITIZE PEOPLE
OUTSIDE OF SINGLE
OCCUPANCY
VEHICLES.

I REALLY HOPE WE
WASN'T DELAY THIS
ANYMORE.

>> Mayor Mei:
COUNCILMEMBER
SHAO, ANY OTHER
CLARIFYING
QUESTIONS?

>> Councilmember Shao:
I LIVE IN THE
NEIGHBORHOOD AND
I ALSO OBSERVE
PEOPLE'S TRAFFIC
PATTERNS AND THE
WIDTH OF THE ROAD.

I NOTICE THE
DRISCOLL RODE
THAT I LIVE BY USED
TO BE TWO LANE
AND NOW THE LANES

ARE NARROWED AND
THE BICYCLE LANE
WAS CREATED.

AND THAT THAT
COULD BE DONE.

SO I DIDN'T DO THE
DIRECT COMPARISON
BETWEEN THE
DRISCOLL RODE AND
PASEO PADRE
PARKWAY.

I LIKE
COUNCILMEMBER
SALWAN'S PROPOSAL
BY ANY CHANCE WE
COULD PRESERVE
THE TWO LANES
BEING ABLE TO
CREATE A BICYCLE
LANE.

>> Mayor Mei: THANK
YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER
JONES DO YOU HAVE
ANOTHER COMMENT?

I THINK WE'RE
TRYING TO GET TO

>> Councilmember Jones:
ACTUALLY JUST A
COUPLE OF OTHER

CLARIFYING
QUESTIONS.

I KNOW IT IS AN AREA
WHERE PEOPLE ARE
GOING OVER THE
SPEED LIMIT
ALTHOUGH IT IS
TECHNICALLY 30
MILES AN HOUR, WE
USED TO DO
ENFORCEMENT
THERE QUITE OFTEN.

I THINK
POST PANDEMIC IT IS
GOING TO SLOW
PEOPLE DOWN AS TO
THE AMOUNT OF
TRAFFIC GOING
THERE FOR
COMMUTE TRAFFIC.

THE QUESTION I
WOULD HAVE IS
HAVE WE HAD ANY
SERIOUS COLLISIONS
IN THE AREA IN THE
LAST SEVERAL
YEARS WHETHER
THEY BE INVOLVING
VEHICLES OR
AUTO PED OR WHAT
HAVE YOU?

WE HAVE OTHER
SYSTEMS FOR A
VISIBLE CROSSWALK,

THAT TYPE OF
LIGHTING SYSTEM.

BUT AGAIN, I THINK
WE SHOULD LOOK AT
THIS AS A SEPARATE
ISSUE AS FAR AS THIS
PROJECT IS
CONCERNED.

AND GET SOME MORE
INPUT ON IT AND I
WOULD LIKE TO SEE
WHAT ARE THE
MEASUREMENTS,
HOW CAN WE MOVE
THIS FORWARD IN
THE MOST EFFICIENT
WAY POSSIBLE.

IT'S NOT ALL ABOUT
PROTECTING
MOTORISTS, IT'S
ABOUT MULTIMODAL
TRANSPORTATION,
INCLUDING VEHICLES
AND PEDESTRIANS
AND OTHER.

>> Mayor Mei: DO YOU
HAVE ANOTHER
QUESTION
COUNCILMEMBER
SALWAN?

>> Councilmember
Salwan: MADAM I'D

LIKE TO MAKE A
MOTION.

>> Mayor Mei: GO
AHEAD.

>> Councilmember
Salwan: I'D LIKE TO
MOVE THIS ITEM
FORWARD WITH THE
EXCEPTION OF
WASHINGTON AND
DRISCOLL.

>> Mayor Mei: SAY
THAT AGAIN.

>> Councilmember
Salwan: BACKGROUND
NOISE I DON'T KNOW
WHERE.

I'D LIKE TO MOVE
THIS ITEM, CAN
EVERYBODY PAUSE?

>> Mayor Mei: YES, I'M
HAVING
DIFFICULTIES
HEARING TOO.

>> Councilmember
Salwan: SO I'D LIKE TO
MOVE THIS ITEM
WITH THE EXCEPTION
OF THE CHANGES ON
PASEO PADRE, WITH
CHANGES BETWEEN

DRISCOLL AND
WASHINGTON.

>> Councilmember
Kassan: ARE WE ABLE
TO HAVE HANS
CLARIFY OR ARE YOU
WANTING TO VOTE
WITHOUT THAT?

>> Mayor Mei: HANS
DO YOU WANT TO
CLARIFY DID
ANSWERS TO THE
QUESTION?

>> Hans Larsen: YES, I
THINK CRASH
HISTORY,
ALTERNATIVE
DESIGNS,
ESTIMATIONS HOW
TRAFFICKING WOULD
ADJUST
POST PANDEMIC, WE
WOULD HAVE DO
TAKE TIME AND
COME BACK ON
THOSE.

TO COUNCILMEMBER
SALWAN'S QUESTION
ABOUT ANY
RE NOTIFICATION,
WE PREVIOUSLY DID
OUTREACH ON THE
PROJECT.

WE HAD A DIRECTION
TO GO FORWARD, WE
ACTUALLY DUE TO
CONCERNS WHILE
THE PROJECT WAS
UNDER
CONSTRUCTION,
DEFERRED IT FROM
THE CONSTRUCTION
WITH THE
UNDERSTANDING
THAT WE WOULD
COME BACK AND DO
IT ONCE THE LANE
ON 680 WAS ADDED.

SO WE BROUGHT THIS
BACK KIND OF BASED
ON THAT CONTEXT
BUT DIDN'T REOPEN
IT FOR NOTIFICATION.

IF THAT'S A DIRECT
OR DESIRE FROM
COUNCIL WE
CERTAINLY WOULD
HAPPY TO DO THAT.

>> Councilmember
Kassan: AND I HAVE A
POINT OF
CLARIFICATION.

DID YOU NOT SAY
EARLIER THAT THERE
WAS NO WAY TO
HAVE

>> Councilmember
Salwan: WE HAVE A
MOTION AND
SECOND.

>> Councilmember
Kassan: I KNOW BUT I
FEEL LIKE THERE'S
BEEN A LOT OF
INFORMATION THAT'S
BEEN
CONTRADICTING
EACH OTHER SO DID
WE COULD GET THAT
CLEAR I'D REALLY
APPRECIATE

>> Councilmember
Salwan: I THINK
MR. LARSON HAS
SAID HE WOULD
HAVE TO RESEARCH
THAT INFORMATION
AND BRING IT BACK.

SO AGAIN FOR THE
POINT OF ORDER WE
HAVE A MOTION

>> Councilmember
Kassan: I JUST
WANTED TO ASK FOR
CLARIFICATION OF
SOMETHING THAT HE
SAID.

BECAUSE I HEARD
MR. LARSON SAY

THAT THERE WAS NO
WAY TO HAVE TWO
LANES OF TRAFFIC
AND A BIKE LANE
ABOUT.

AND THEN LATER I
HEARD SOME
COUNCILMEMBER
SAY, CAN WE
RESEARCH WHETHER
THAT IS POSSIBLE.

SO I JUST WANTED TO
FIND OUT IS IT TRUE
THAT YOU ALREADY
KNOW THAT THERE IS
NO WAY TO DO THAT,
OR IS IT

>> Mayor Mei:
MR. LARSON.

I THINK THAT I GUESS
THE EXAMPLE THAT
WAS GIVEN PERHAPS
YOU STATE THEY'D
THIS WAS
SOMETHING THAT
WAS NOT FEASIBLE
BUT I UNDERSTAND
THERE ARE SOME
WORK THAT WAS
DONE ON DRISCOLL.

SO IF YOU WOULD
COMPARE IT TOO,
DRISCOLL ALSO HAS

A FRONT FACING
ROAD WITH STREET
PARKING.

IS THIS SOMETHING
YOU ALSO WOULD DO
RESEARCH ON
BECAUSE I FIND THE
TWO ROADS OR THE
VERY SIMILAR IN
CONSTRUCTION.

I TOO LIVE NEARBY
AND I DRIVE IT
EVERY DAY SO I
KNOW IT TOO.

>> Hans Larsen: WE
WOULD HAVE TO
TAKE A LOOK AT
THAT MORE CLOSELY
BUT MY SENSE IS
THAT THERE WE
WOULD NOT BE ABLE
TO GET MAINTAIN
TWO LANES AND GET
WHAT WE WOULD
CONSIDER SORT OF A
SAFER, ATTRACTIVE
BIKE LANE IN THERE.

YOU'RE ESSENTIALLY
PUTTING FOLKS IN A
NARROW BIKE LANE
NEXT TO PARKED
CARS WHERE YOU
HAVE IS DOORS
OPENING UP.

AND I'M PRETTY
CONFIDENT THERE
ISN'T THE SPACE IN
THIS CORRIDOR TO
BE ABLE TO DO WHAT
WE HAVE PERHAPS
DONE IN OTHER
CORRIDORS.

BUT I WOULD YOU
KNOW TO CONFIRM
THAT, OR LOOK
CREATIVELY AT
ALTERNATIVES, WE
WOULD HAVE TO
COME BACK AND
WE'RE CERTAINLY
HAPPY TO DO THAT.

>> Councilmember
Kassan: I WOULD
OFFER THAT THAT'S
PROBABLY OUR BEST
BET WHICH IS JUST
WHAT HANS OFFERED
RIGHT NOW, IS LOOK
AT OTHER CREATIVE
OPTIONS FOR THIS
PARTICULAR
STRETCH.

MAYBE IT'S
RETAINING TWO
LANES, MAYBE IT'S
NOT RETAINING
BOTH LANES.

MAYBE IT'S A WIDER
ONE LANE AND A
MORE COMFORTABLE
BIKE LANE.

I MEAN IT COULD BE
ANY NUMBER OF
OPTIONS.

BUT I THINK WE'RE
HEARING ALL OF
YOUR COMMENTS
AND CONTINGENT
UPON WHATEVER
MOTION IS MADE
AND APPROVED, WE
CAN GO BACK AND
DO OUR DUE
DILIGENCE AND TRY
TO DESIGN
SOMETHING THAT'S
MORE COMFORTABLE
FOR THE
NEIGHBORHOOD.

>> Mayor Mei: WELL,
WE DO HAVE A
MOTION AND SECOND
AT THIS TIME.

MOTION BY
COUNCILMEMBER
SALWAN AND
SECONDED BY
COUNCILMEMBER
KENG I THINK.

I APOLOGIZE.

THERE WAS QUITE A FEW PEOPLE WHO ANSWERED AT THAT TIME.

SO WITH THAT SAID, COULD I GET A CLARIFICATION, COUNCILMEMBER SALWAN AGAIN OF YOUR MOTION AND THEN ALSO IF WE COULD GET A ROLL CALL VOTE FOR THAT PLEASE, THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER SALWAN.

ARE YOU

>> Councilmember Salwan: OH YES, I DID MAKE THE MOTION.

DO YOU WANT ME TO MAKE IT AGAIN?

>> Mayor Mei: COULD YOU JUST RESTATE IT AGAIN, BECAUSE THERE WAS SO MUCH CONVERSATION IN BETWEEN.

>> Councilmember Salwan: OKAY I WOULD LIKE TO

MOVE STAFF
RECOMMENDATION
WITH THE EXCEPTION
OF THE ITEM OF
PASEO PADRE LANE
NARROWING
BETWEEN DRISCOLL
AND WASHINGTON.

>> Mayor Mei: IT WAS
MOTIONED AND
SECONDED BY
COUNCILMEMBER
KENG.

PLEASE CALL THE
ROLL CALL VOTE,
THANK YOU.

>> The Clerk: YES
MADAM MAYOR.

COUNCILMEMBER
COX.

AYE.

COUNCILMEMBER
SALWAN, AYE.

COUNCILMEMBER
KASSAN.

>> Councilmember
Kassan: NO, AND
COULD IT BE CLEAR
IN THE MINUTES WHY
I'M VOTING NO AS IT

OFTEN IS, THANK
YOU.

>> The Clerk:
COUNCILMEMBER
JONES, AYE.

COUNCILMEMBER
KENG.

AYE.

VICE MAYOR SHAO.

AYE.

MAYOR MEI.

>> Mayor Mei: AYE, SO
THE MOTION PASSES
WITH SIX AYES AND
ONE NAY.

MAKE SURE WE ARE
CLEAR ON THAT IN
THE MOTION.

BACK TO OUR PUBLIC
HEARING ITEMS THIS
EVENING.

AND WE HAVE ONE IS
THE FREMONT 5A
WHICH IS THE
FREMONT
LANDSCAPING
DISTRICT FOR
DISTRICT 88.

WE HAVE OUR
COMMUNITY
SERVICES DIRECTOR
THIS EVENING,
SUZANNE WOLF AND
RICK CLARK WHO
WILL BE
REPRESENTING
HARRISON
ASSOCIATES AND
ANDREW FREEMAN.

WHO IS AVAILABLE
FOR QUESTIONS AT
THIS TIME.

ARE THERE ANY
QUESTIONS FROM
ANY
COUNCILMEMBER ON
THIS AGENDA ITEM
THIS EVENING?

ACTUALLY I NEED TO
TURN THIS OVER TO I
BELIEVE VICE
MAYOR SHAO OR
THIS SITUATION, AND
COUNCILMEMBER
SALWAN AND I MAY
NEED TO DROP OFF.

>> Councilmember Shao:
YES, I WOULD LIKE
TO BE HAPPY TO
TAKE OVER AND AS
MAYOR JUST SAID,
OUR DIRECTOR WOLF

WITH START WITH A
PRESENTATION.

>> WE HAVE NO
PRESENTATION THIS
EVENING BUT WE
ARE HAPPY TO
ANSWER ANY
QUESTION THAT YOU
MAY HAVE.

>> Councilmember Shao:
SO
COUNCILMEMBERS
DO WE HAVE ANY
CLARIFYING
QUESTIONS FOR THE
FIRST ROUND?

OKAY
COUNCILMEMBER
JONES.

>> Councilmember Jones:
SORRY TO
INTERRUPT.

DO WE NEED TO OPEN
AND CLOSE THE
PUBLIC HEARING FOR
THIS ITEM OR A
PUBLIC HEARING?

>> Councilmember Shao:
THEN I WILL ASK THE
PUBLIC SPEAKER TO
SPEAK FIRST BEFORE

CLOSING THE PUBLIC
HEARING.

>> SO
COUNCILMEMBER
SHAO WHAT
COUNCILMEMBER
JONES IS ASKING
YOU'RE GETTING
QUESTIONS FROM
THE COUNCIL NOW
WHICH IS FINE.

IF THERE ARE NO
QUESTIONS YOU
SHOULD OPEN THE
PUBLIC HEARING, SEE
IF THERE ARE ANY
PUBLIC SPEAKERS, IF
THERE ARE NO
SPEAKERS, MOVE ON
TO A VOTE.

>> Councilmember Shao:
UNDERSTOOD.

THANK YOU VERY
MUCH.

SO RIGHT NOW I SEE
ONE PUBLIC SPEAKER
RAISING HAND.

AND THAT WOULD BE
MR. BLAIR
BEEKMAN.

SO LET'S HEAR WHAT
MR. BEEKMAN HAS
TO SAY, AND I
WOULD LIKE TO OPEN
THE PUBLIC HEARING
HERE.

>> THANK YOU,
BLAIR BEEKMAN
FROM THE PUBLIC.

WITH THIS ITEM
WHAT TURNED OUT
TO BE A LONG ITEM
THE LAST ABOUT THE
PUBLIC FUTURE OF
BIKEWAYS AND WITH
THE 530 ITEM ABOUT
THE FUTURE OF RAIL
TRANSIT AND
BUS AND MASS
TRANSIT IN SOUTH
ALAMEDA COUNTY,
YOU KNOW, THIS IS I
THINK OUR FIRST
WEEK BACK FROM
SUMMER BREAK
FROM JUNE, AND I
THINK WE'RE A
LITTLE RUSTY.

AND YOU KNOW, FOR
THE
ENVIRONMENTAL
PROJECTS OF THE
RAIL REPORT, FOR A
FEW ITEMS OF HOW
TO TALK ABOUT

BETTER TALK ABOUT
BICYCLE ISSUES AND
FOR THESE
LANDSCAPE ISSUES
THEY ARE ALL ISSUES
THAT THESE ARE BIG
MONEY PROJECTS.

AND IT'S NICE TO
HAVE MONEY AND
IT'S JUST A MATTER
OF WHAT DO WE DO
WITH PUBLIC MONEY
AND HOW DO WE
TALK ABOUT IT
WHEN HAVING GOOD
PUBLIC MONEY.

AND IT TAKES
PRACTICE TO LEARN
HOW TO DO THAT
AND WE'LL GET BACK
INTO THE SWING OF
THINGS IN I GUESS A
FEW WEEKS AND IT
NEEDS A BIT MORE
CONCENTRATION
FROM YOURSELF IT
SOUNDS LIKE AND
GOOD LUCK WHAT
WE CAN DO.

MEANWHILE
EVERYBODY
OFFERED SOME
FAIRLY GOOD IDEAS
AS USUALLY.

IT'S A MATTER OF
KNOWING HOW TO
CONCENTRATE WITH
WHAT TO DO WITH
THE BUDGET AND
FUNDING WE HAVE
TILT.

SO GOOD LUCK ON
OUR CHOICES AND
THANKS FOR YOUR
TIME.

>> Councilmember Shao:
THANK YOU
MR. BEEKMAN.

I DO NOT SEE ANY
OTHER PUBLIC
SPEAKERS.

THEREFORE, I'M
GOING TO CLOSE THE
PUBLIC HEARING
AND ASK IF WE CAN
HAVE ANY
CLARIFYING
QUESTIONS OR
COMMENTS FROM
COUNCILMEMBERS.

IF NOT I BELIEVE THIS
IS A VOTING ITEM,
CORRECT?

I'D LIKE TO
ENTERTAIN A
MOTION.

>> Councilmember Jones:
MOVE TO APPROVE.

>> Councilmember Shao:
MOVED BY
COUNCILMEMBER
JONES.

ANY SECOND?

>> Councilmember Cox: I
CAN SECOND.

>> Councilmember Shao:
SECONDED BY
COUNCILMEMBER
COX.

LET'S HAVE A VOTE.

>> The Clerk:
COUNCILMEMBER
COX.

>> Councilmember Cox:
AYE.

>> The Clerk:
COUNCILMEMBER
SALWAN.

>> HE'S RECUSED.

>> The Clerk: SORRY,
COUNCILMEMBER
KASSAN.

>> Councilmember
Kassan: AYE.

>> The Clerk:
COUNCILMEMBER
JONES.

AYE.

>> The Clerk: VICE
MAYOR SHAO.

>> Councilmember Shao:
AYE.

>> The Clerk: AND THE
NOTED ABSTENTIONS
FROM MAYOR MEI
AND
COUNCILMEMBER
SALWAN.

>> Councilmember Shao:
GIVING THE ITEM
BACK TO MAYOR MEI.

>> Mayor Mei: THANK
YOU.

THE CITY MASTER
FEE SCHEDULE AND
THE RECREATIONAL
FEE USE POLICY.

AGAIN OUR
COMMUNITY
SERVICES DIRECTOR
SUZANNE WOLF IS
MAKING HERSELF
AVAILABLE FOR ANY
QUESTIONS.

SO FIRST QUESTIONS
FROM THE COUNCIL.

IN HAS COME BEFORE
US BEFORE AND
SEEING NONE, I'M
GOING TO OPEN IT
FOR PUBLIC
COMMENT PERIOD.

FTC AND MEMBERS
OF THE PUBLIC MAY
DO SO BY LITING ON
THE RAISE HAND
ICON OR

>> The Clerk:
COUNCILMEMBER
COX HAS HER HAND
RAISED.

>> Mayor Mei: LET ME
FIRST CLOSE THE
PUBLIC COMMENT
PERIOD.

SEEING NO PUBLIC
COMMENT, LET ME
CLOSE THE PUBLIC
COMMENT PERIOD.

THANK YOU.

I'LL RETURN IT BACK
TO COMMENTS FROM
COUNCILMEMBERS,
COUNCILMEMBER
COX.

>> Councilmember Cox:
THANK YOU.

I APPRECIATE ALL
THE HARD WORK
THAT WENT INTO
PUTTING TOGETHER
THE MASTER FEE
SCHEDULE.

I DID HAVE A COUPLE
OF QUESTIONS.

ONE WAS, WHEN WAS
THERE A STUDY
DONE, I GUESS TO
ASSESS THE NEEDS
FOR HAVING A
DOWNTOWN CENTER?

BECAUSE I DIDN'T
SEE ALL OF THAT IN
PART OF THE
PRESENTATION.

WAS THAT
SOMETHING THAT
HAD PUBLIC
HEARINGS OR THINGS
ON THAT OR

>> WITH ME THIS
EVENING IS DEPUTY
DIRECTOR KIM RYAN,
I'LL ASK HER TO
ANSWER THAT.

WE DID VIEW OTHER
CITIES IN ORDER TO
SET UP THE FEES FOR
THE NEW CENTER
THAT'S COMING ON
BOARD WITH THE
DOWNTOWN EVENT
CENTER.

>> CORRECT.

SO I'M NOT SURE
COUNCILMEMBER
COX IF YOU ARE
TALKING ABOUT
WHEN THE EVENT
CENTER WAS
ORIGINALLY
APPROVED AS PHASE
ONE

>> Councilmember Cox:
NO ABOUT BEFORE
THAT, I GUESS IT WAS
BEFORE THAT.

>> RIGHT, SO THAT
WOULD BE THE CITY
COUNCIL WENT
THROUGH AND
APPROVED THE
DOWNTOWN PLAN.

AND SO THAT WOULD
HAVE GONE BACK TO
THAT TIME FRAME.

THAT WAS PART OF
COMMUNITY
SERVICES GETTING
INVOLVED.

I KNOW CLIFF AND
DAN SCHOENHOLZ
WHO WORKED ON
THAT PLAN.

>> Councilmember Cox:
IT WAS BACK IN 2018
OR 2017 PART OF
THAT TIME FRAME.

>> CORRECT.

>> Councilmember Cox: I
WANTED TO FIND
OUT, IT SOUNDS
VERY EXCITING AND
LOOKS VERY
BEAUTIFUL FROM
THE OUTSIDE, CAN'T
WAIT TO REOPEN IT
UP.

I'D LIKE TO FIND OUT
IN TERMS OF I NOTICE
YOU HAVE
DIFFERENT
CLASSIFICATION FOR
GENERAL USES AND
THEN YOU HAVE
DIFFERENT GROUPS
THAT ARE DIVIDED
INTO LIKE HAZARD 1,
2 OR 3.

SO I WAS TRYING TO
FIND OUT WITH THE
HAZARD
CLASSIFICATION, IS
THAT SOMETHING
COMING OUT OF
INSURANCE
INDUSTRY
BACKGROUND HOW
THEY BREAK THAT
DOWN?

I THOUGHT IT WAS
INTERESTING THAT
HAZARD LIKE SAY
FOR EXAMPLE
HAZARD 3 FOR HEADS
OF STATE VERSUS
DIFFERENT
CLASSIFICATION NOR
UNION MEETINGS, SO
I WAS TRYING TO
UNDERSTAND WHERE
WAS THE SOURCE
THAT THEN
PROVIDED THAT
HAZARDOUS
BREAKDOWN?

AND
CLASSIFICATION?

>> CORRECT.

AS STAFF WAS DOING
THEIR RESEARCH AS I
SAID IN THE STAFF
REPORT WE REALLY

REALIZED THAT THE
CITY OF FREMONT
WAS ONE OF THE
AGENCIES WHO
WASN'T REQUIRING
ANY INSURANCE
REQUIREMENTS FOR
OUR RENTERS OF OUR
FACILITIES.

SO WE WENT
THROUGH AND DID
RESEARCH,
UNDERSTOOD WHAT
OTHERS WERE
PROVIDING.

THE CALIFORNIA
JOINT USE
AUTHORITY OFFERS
INSURANCE TO BE
PURCHASED
THROUGH THEM.

THEY ARE THE ONES
THAT OFFER THOSE
CLASSIFICATIONS.

YOU ENTER IT I
MADE UP A WEDDING
AND ADDED AND IT
SAID YOUR
INSURANCE COSTS
WILL BE \$150.

THAT'S HOW IT
WORKS.

YOU CAN OFTEN CAN
PROVIDE IT FROM
YOUR HOMEOWNERS
INSURANCE AS WELL.

>> Councilmember Cox:
IF A PERSON DOESN'T
HAVE THAT KIND OF
COVERAGE THEY
CAN PURCHASE IT OR
USE THEIR OWN
HOMEOWNERS AND
GET THE QUOTE AND
SHOW YOU IT'S BEING
COVERED FOR THAT
DAY OF THE EVENT?

>> RIGHT.

>> Councilmember Cox:
OKAY, COOL.

THE OTHER THING I
WAS GOING TO ASK IS
WITH THE NEW
CENTER IT WILL
HAVE AUDIO, VISUAL
AND
LIVE STREAMING
CAPABILITIES RIGHT?

>> CORRECT.

THE AUDIOVISUAL
SYSTEM WILL BE
ABLE TO BROADCAST
COUNCIL MEETINGS
TO IT.

IT WILL HAVE FULL
WiFi CAPABILITIES
WHICH NONE OF OUR
OTHER COMMUNITY
CENTERS DO AND IT
WILL ALSO HAVE TV
SCREEN AND
MONITORS
THROUGHOUT THE
FACILITY IN COUPLE
OF LOCATIONS, THAT
WILL BE INCLUDED IN
PART OF YOUR
PACKAGE ONCE YOU
RENT IT AND THERE
MAY BE AN
UPCHARGE ONCE WE
GET A
DEMONSTRATION OF
WHAT THE
CAPABILITIES ARE.

>> Councilmember Cox:
THERE IS GOING TO
BE SOME
AMENDMENTS WHEN
WE FIND OUT MORE
INFORMATION TO
THE FEES SCHEDULE,
WILL THAT BE SOME
ADJUSTMENTS
THERE?

>> POSSIBLY.

SOMETIMES THOSE
THINGS WE ADD ON
IN THE CONDITIONS

OF USE IF WE FIND
THERE IS AN ADD ON
FEE FOR THE CITY.

IS THERE WILL BE A
RENTAL FEE.

>> Councilmember Cox:
WILL THE
AUDIOVISUAL BE
COVERED BY THE
UNIONS?

>> I ASSUME FOR
THOSE WHO COVER
THE REST OF THE
CITY WHEN WE DO
COUNCIL MEETINGS.

>> Councilmember Cox:
ARE THEY UNION OR
NONUNION?

I DON'T KNOW.

I WAS JUST ASKING.

>> WE HAVE A
CONTRACT WITH AN
ORGANIZATION WHO
PROVIDES SUPPORT
FOR OUR CITY
COUNCIL MEETINGS
AND JOINT MEETINGS
WITH THE SCHOOL
DISTRICT,
ET CETERA.

IT'S NOT
NECESSARILY A
UNION CONTRACT
BUT IT IS A
COMPETITIVELY BID
CONTRACT.

BUT ON THE BACK
END SUPPORT, OUR
I.T. DEPARTMENT IS
ALWAYS IN THE
BACKGROUND, AS
THEY ARE TONIGHT,
PROVIDING SUPPORT
FOR THIS MEETING
AND ANY OTHER
BROADCAST THAT
WE HAVE ALONG
WITH (INAUDIBLE).

>> Councilmember Cox:
RIGHT, I KNOW ON
OTHER FACILITIES IF
YOU RENT THEM AT
THE HOTEL YOU
HAVE TO HAVE A
CONTRACT THAT IS
WORKING WITH THE
UNION.

SO I WAS JUST
TRYING TO
UNDERSTAND THAT
PIECE.

SO THAT WOULD BE
ANOTHER THIRD
PARTY TYPE

ARRANGEMENT IN
ADDITION TO WHAT
WE'RE SEEING ON
THE FEE SCHEDULE,
CORRECT?

SO THAT'S WHAT I'M
HEARING.

AND THIS IS GOING
ACCREDITATION,
WILL YOU HAVE
ACCESS TO THE 5G TO
BE ABLE TO
CONDUCT ALL THESE
THINGS FOR THE
DOWNTOWN CENTER?

IS THAT TAPPING
INTO THE 5G ZONE OR
NOT?

>> STAFF DOESN'T
HAVE THE FULL
ORIENTATION ON THE
AV EQUIPMENT.

THE NEXT STEP IS WE
CAN BE DRAINED ON
THE CAPABILITIES
AND WHAT THAT
WILL ENTAIL.

>> Councilmember Cox:
GREAT, OKAY.

THANK YOU VERY
MUCH, APPRECIATE
ALL YOUR HELP.

>> Mayor Mei: SO ARE
THERE ANY OTHER
QUESTIONS?

SEEING NONE,
WOULD I SEE
COUNCILMEMBER
JONES YOU WOULD
LIKE TO MAKE A
MOTION, IT LOOKS
LIKE OR DO YOU
HAVE COMMENTS?

>> Councilmember Jones:
I WOULD, I WOULD
MOVE TO APPROVE
THE ITEM.

>> Mayor Mei: AND
SECONDED BY
COUNCILMEMBER
SALWAN.

SO MOVED BY
COUNCILMEMBER
JONES AND
SECONDED BY
COUNCILMEMBER
SALWAN.

THANK YOU FOR THE
CLARIFICATIONS,
ROLL CALL VOTE
PLEASE.

>> The Clerk: CAN
COUNCILMEMBER
COX.

>> Councilmember Cox:
AYE.

>> The Clerk:
COMMUNICATION.

>> Councilmember
Salwan: AYE.

>> The Clerk:
COUNCILMEMBER
KASSAN.

>> Councilmember
Kassan: AYE.

ABOUT.

>> The Clerk:
COUNCILMEMBER
JONES, AYE.

COUNCILMEMBER
KENG.

>> Councilmember Keng:
AYE.

>> The Clerk: VICE
MAYOR SHAO, AYE.

MAYOR MEI.

>> Mayor Mei: AYE.

PASSES.

CITY OF FREMONT
REDISTRICTING
INFORMATION.

I WOULD LIKE TO
WELCOME CHRISTIAN
PARKS A
CONSULTANT WITH
NATIONAL
DEMOGRAPHICS
CORPORATION THIS
EVENING WHO WILL
PROVIDE US A
PRESENTATION.

THANK YOU.

>> AND MAYOR MEI,
CITY MANAGER'S
OFFICE WILL
PRESENT A BRIEF
INTRODUCTION.

>> Mayor Mei: THANK
YOU AMANDA.

>> GOOD EVENING,
I'M AMANDA GAYO,
IN THE CITY
MANAGER'S OFFICE.

I WILL BE SERVING
AS THE CITY'S POINT
PERSON ON THE
REDISTRICTING
SERVICE IN 2021.

AND WE ARE
BRINGING THIS ITEM
TO YOU ALL TODAY
TO REALLY JUST GIVE
AN OVERVIEW OF
WHAT THAT PROCESS
WILL LOOK LIKE
EVERY THE NEXT
MONTHS TO
INTRODUCE YOU TO
THE CONSULTANT
THAT THE CITY HAS
DECIDED TO WORK
WITH, NATIONAL
DEMOGRAPHICS
CORPORATION.

AND REALLY, THIS
PROCESS AS YOU
MAY BE AWARE, THE
CITY IS REQUIRED TO
DO THIS AT THE
CONCLUSION OF
EVERY CENSUS.

SO EVERY TEN
YEARS.

AND WE WILL
REVIEW BASED ON
THAT MANY
INFORMATION WHAT
OUR COUNCIL
DISTRICTS MAY BE.

WITH THAT I WOULD
LIKE TO INTRODUCE
KRISTEN PARKS.

SHE WILL BE THE
CITY'S
REPRESENTATIVE
FROM NATIONAL
DEMOGRAPHICS
CORPORATION.

KRISTEN HAS GREAT
EXPERIENCE AS DOES
NATIONAL
DEMOGRAPHICS
CORPORATION ON
REDISTRICTING.

SHE IS CURRENTLY
WORKING ON
OHLONE COLLEGE
DISTRICT'S
REDISTRICTING THAT
EXPANDS ACROSS
FREMONT AS WELL,
SHE IS
KNOWLEDGEABLE
ABOUT THE AREA AS
WELL AS SAN MATEO
COUNTY AND SANTA
BARBARA AND
OTHER CONTRACTS
THROUGHOUT THE
STATE.

SO I'M GOING TO NOW
TURN IT OVER TO
KRISTEN WHO WILL
GIVE YOU MORE OF
THAT PROCESS
OVERVIEW.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU,
AMANDA AND GOOD
EVENING MAYOR,
COUNCIL, I'M HAPPY
TO BE HERE.

LET'S JUMP RIGHT
INTO OUR OVERVIEW
OF THE
REDISTRICTING
PROCESS.

YOU MAY RECALL
THAT THE CITY OF
FREMONT DID MOVE
QUITE RECENTLY TO
DISTRICT ELECTIONS.

THAT HAPPENED IN
2017.

SO WHAT WE'RE
TALKING ABOUT
TONIGHT IS
REDISTRICTING.

YOU ALREADY DID
THE DISTRICTING
PART.

AND I JUST WANT TO
POINT OUT THAT
WHEN YOU MOVED
TO DISTRICTS, YOU
WERE DOING THAT
USING INFORMATION

FROM THE 2010
CENSUS.

SO THE REASON THAT
WE ARE
REDISTRICTING NOW
IS BECAUSE WE WILL
HAVE NEW DATA
FROM THE 2020
CENSUS.

HERE IS YOUR
CURRENT DISTRICT
MAP, YOU'RE ALL
VERY FAMILIAR WITH
THIS I'M SURE.

AND OUR TIME LINE
FOR 2021'S
REDISTRICTING INTO
2022 ACKNOWLEDGE
OF COURSE, IS THAT
IF YOU WORK
BACKWARDS, YOUR
DEADLINE IS APRIL
17th OF NEXT YEAR.

WHAT THAT MEANS
IS, THAT BY THAT
DATE YOU NEED TO
ADOPT A NEW MAP
THAT IS POPULATION
BALANCED FOR THE
SIX DISTRICTS IN
YOUR CITY.

WE HAVE LAUNCHED
JUST THIS WEEK THE

REDISTRICTING
WEBSITE AND THE
PUBLIC OUTREACH
HAS ALREADY
BEGUN AND WHAT
WE ARE WAITING FOR
BECAUSE OF ALL OF
THE DELAYS AROUND
THE CENSUS,
RESULTING FROM
THE PANDEMIC, WE
ARE WAITING FOR
THE DATA.

SO IN MID AUGUST,
THE TOTAL
POPULATION DATA
FROM THE CENSUS
BUREAU WILL BE
RELEASED.

HOWEVER,
CALIFORNIA DOES
NEED TO GO
THROUGH A PROCESS
OF ADJUSTING THAT
DATA AND
REALLOCATING
STATE PRISONERS
BACK TO THEIR HOME
COMMUNITIES.

AND SO WE WON'T
HAVE THE OFFICIAL
CALIFORNIA DATA
PROBABLY UNTIL
THE END OF
SEPTEMBER.

UNDER THE NEW
LEGAL FRAMEWORK
THAT IS GUIDING THE
2021 REDISTRICTING,
ALL CITIES ARE
REQUIRED TO HOLD A
MINIMUM OF FOUR
PUBLIC HEARINGS.

SO IN THE COMING
WEEKS WE WILL BE
FINALIZING THOSE
DATES FOR FREMONT.

BUT ONE OF THOSE
HEARINGS HAS A TO
OCCUR BEFORE ANY
DRAFT DISTRICT
MAPS ARE DRAWN.

SO LIKELY THAT
HEARING WILL
OCCUR IN AUGUST OR
SEPTEMBER.

THERE WILL ALSO BE
PLENTY OF TIME FOR
MEMBERS OF THE
COMMUNITY TO
PARTICIPATE IN THE
PROCESS AND
SUBMIT THEIR OWN
MAPS OF WHAT THEY
WOULD LIKE TO SEE
THE SIX DISTRICTS
LOOK LIKE.

AND WE WILL HAVE
A LULL OVER THE
HOLIDAY OF COURSE,
AND WE WILL COME
BACK AND MAKE
SURE THAT WE HAVE
AT LEAST TWO
PUBLIC HEARINGS
JANUARY, FEBRUARY
OR MARCH, IN ORDER
TO ALLOW TIME FOR
THE COUNCIL AND
THE PUBLIC TO
ANALYZE THE DRAFT
MAPS, REVISE THEM,
AND RECEIVE
DEMOGRAPHIC
REPORTS AS WELL AS
ELECTION
SEQUENCING FOR
ALL OF THE MAPS
THAT ARE
CONSIDERED.

AND I'M CONFIDENT
THAT YOU WILL
MEET YOUR APRIL
DEADLINE AND THEN
OF COURSE IN
NOVEMBER, OF 2022,
YOU HAVE DISTRICTS
2, 3 AND 4 UP FOR
ELECTION, AND
THOSE WILL BE THE
FIRST THAT WILL
BE THE FIRST

ELECTION WITH THE
NEW DISTRICT MAP.

SO THAT'S OUR TIME
LINE.

IT'S A LITTLE BIT
COMPRESSED.

I REFERENCED A NEW
CALIFORNIA STATE
LAW SO THIS IS THE
LAW THAT IS NOW
GOVERNING
REDISTRICTING IN
THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA.

I'M GOING TO COVER
THAT.

BUT IF YOU WERE
AROUND FOR THE
DISTRICTING
PROCESS, THE
FEDERAL LAWS
HAVEN'T CHANGED.

SO WHEN WE'RE
TALKING ABOUT
REDISTRICTING, THE
FIRST ABSOLUTE
MANDATORY THING
IS THAT WE COMPLY
WITH FEDERAL LAW.

FEDERAL LAW SAYS
THAT EACH CITY

COUNCIL DISTRICT
SHOULD BE
RELATIVELY EQUAL
IN POPULATION SIZE.

FEDERAL LAW SAYS
THAT WHETHER
WE'RE DISTRICTING
WE MUST IMPLY
WITH THE FEDERAL
VOTING RIGHTS ACT
AND NOT DILUTE
MINORITY VOTES.

WE ALSO MUST
AVOID RACIAL
GERRYMANDERING,
SO THAT WHILE RACE
IS AN IMPORTANT
FACTOR TO
CONSIDER, IT
SHOULD NOT BE THE
SOLE FACTOR
BECAUSE THAT
WOULD BE RACIAL
GERRYMANDERING.

THE CALIFORNIA
FAIR MAPS ACT
GIVERS CITIES A
RANKED LIST OF
CRITERIA THAT MUST
BE CONSIDERED
WHEN DRAWING
DISTRICT LINES
DURING THE
REDISTRICTING
PROCESS.

SO THESE FOUR
RANKED CRITERIA
ARE FIRST TO ENSURE
THAT DISTRICTS ARE
CONTIGUOUS,
MEANING EACH
DISTRICT IS ONE
PIECE THAT IS
CONNECTED TO
ITSELF AND NOT
BROKEN INTO
ISLANDS.

THE SECOND
CRITERION IS TO
MINIMIZE THE
DIVISION OF
NEIGHBORHOODS
AND COMMUNITIES
OF INTEREST ACROSS
DISTRICT
BOUNDARIES.

NUMBER 3 IS TO
CREATE EASILY CAN
CREATED
BOUNDARIES, SO
THEY CAN DESCRIBE
WHERE ONE DISTRICT
BEGINS AND WHERE
ONE ENDS.

A MEASURE FOR
COMPACTNESS, THE
STATE LAW SAYS
YOU SHOULD NOT
BYPASS A NEAR
POPULATION TO THE

BOUNDARIES SHALL
NOT FAVOR OR
DISCRIMINATE
AGAINST A
POLITICAL PARTY.

SO THESE ARE THE
THINGS THAT WE
HAVE TO FOLLOW.

I'VE ALSO INCLUDED
SOME OF THE MORE
TRADITIONAL
PRINCIPLES HERE
THAT HAVE GUIDED
REDISTRICTING.

BECAUSE THESE ARE
THE THINGS THAT
YOU'RE PROBABLY IN
THE BACK OF YOUR
HEAD, RIGHT?

WANTING TO
MINIMIZE CHANGES
TO ELECTION
CYCLES, RIGHT?

YOU DON'T WANT
ANY OF YOUR
VOTERS WHO ARE
EXPECTING TO VOTE
IN 2022, TO HAVE TO
VOTE IN 2024 JUST
BECAUSE LINES
MOVED ON A MAP.

RIGHT?

SIMILARLY,
TRADITIONALLY, WE
DO TRY TO RESPECT
VOTERS' CHOICES
AND WHAT THAT
MEANS IS GIVING
VOTERS DECISION ON
WHETHER OR NOT
THEIR
COUNCILMEMBER IS
REELECTED AND NOT
MOVING LINES.

TRYING TO MAINTAIN
THE DISTRICT LINES,
YOUR DISTRICTS
HAVE BEEN ALREADY
NEW BUT YOU HAVE
BEEN ORGANIZING IN
THEM AND HAVE
COMMUNITY
MEMBERS IDENTIFY
WITH THEM.

IDENTIFYING FUTURE
GROWTH IS
SOMETHING WE
SHOULD CONSIDER
BUT WHAT I NEED TO
POINT OUT TO YOU IS
THESE OTHER GOALS
CAN ONLY BE TAKEN
INTO ACCOUNT
AFTER COMPLYING
WITH FEDERAL AND
STATE LAW AND IN
SO FAR AS THESE

OTHER GOALS DO
NOT CONFLICT WITH
FEDERAL OR STATE
LAW.

SO I KNOW THAT'S A
LOT BUT THAT'S A
BRIEF LEGAL
OVERVIEW.

I'M HAPPY AS SOON
AS I'M DONE TO
ANSWER ANY
QUESTIONS YOU
MIGHT HAVE ON THIS
OR ANYTHING.

FOR THE PURPOSE OF
MINIMIZING THE
DIVISION OF
NEIGHBORHOODS
AND COMMUNITIES
OF INTEREST, IT'S
IMPORTANT THAT WE
DEFINE THOSE TWO
TERMS.

A NEIGHBORHOOD OF
COURSE CAN BE
DEFINED
OBJECTIVELY BY
USING OFFICIAL
NEIGHBORHOODS
RECOGNIZED BY THE
CITY OR BY
RECEIVING
TESTIMONY FROM
THE PUBLIC.

AND SO
NEIGHBORHOODS
MOST PEOPLE CAN
WRAP THEIR HEADS
AROUND.

WHAT WE LIKE TO
EXPLAIN A LITTLE
BIT MORE IN DEPTH IS
COMMUNITIES OF
INTEREST.

AND THE WAY THAT
CALIFORNIA LAW
DEFINES A
COMMUNITY OF
INTEREST IS THAT
IT'S A POPULATION
THAT SHARES
COMMON SOCIAL OR
ECONOMIC
INTERESTS, AND
SHOULD BE
INCLUDED WITHIN A
SINGLE COUNCIL
DISTRICT FOR
PURPOSES OF FAIR
AND EFFECTIVE
REPRESENTATION.

SO THERE ARE MANY
EXAMPLES OF WHAT
A COMMUNITY OF
INTEREST IS.

I LIKE TO EXPLAIN
THAT A COMMUNITY
OF INTEREST IS

SMALLER THAN
YOUR DISTRICT.

YOUR DISTRICT WILL
BE MADE UP OF
MANY COMMUNITIES
OF INTEREST AT
MULTIPLE
NEIGHBORHOODS.

SO THESE
COMMUNITIES OF
INTEREST ARE
OVERLAPPING SETS
OF NETWORKS AND
GROUPS OF PEOPLE
THAT SHARE
INTERESTS, CULTURE,
HISTORY, LANGUAGE,
AND VALUES.

AND THAT CAN BE
IDENTIFIED
GEOGRAPHICALLY
ON A MAP.

SO WHEN WE ARE
ASKING THE PUBLIC
TO BRING
TESTIMONY AND
DEFINE OR MAP
THEIR COMMUNITY
OF INTEREST, WE ASK
THEM NOT ONLY FOR
THOSE
CHARACTERISTICS
THAT BRING THEM
TOGETHER IN THEIR

COMMUNITY BUT
ALSO THE PHYSICAL
BOUNDARIES WHICH
CAN BE ROADS,
WATERWAYS, HILLS,
LANDMARKS, AREAS
AROUND PARKS OR
SCHOOLS.

AND WHEN WE'RE
TALKING ABOUT
REDISTRICTING
WE'RE PRIMARILY
INTERESTED IN
IDENTIFYING THOSE
COMMUNITIES THAT
DO NOT WANT TO BE
DIVIDED.

OF COURSE, WITH
FOUR PUBLIC
HEARINGS THIS
GIVES US PLENTY OF
OPPORTUNITY TO
HEAR FROM
MEMBERS OF THE
PUBLIC AS TO THEIR
COMMUNITIES OF
INTEREST.

YOU ALREADY HAVE
A RICH SET OF MAPS
THAT YOU HAVE
PUBLICLY
AVAILABLE, LOTS OF
WAYS THAT THE
PUBLIC CAN LOOK TO
IDENTIFY THEIR

COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST, NATIONAL DEMOGRAPHICS CORPORATION WILL ALSO BE PROVIDING YOU ADDITIONAL DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION ON RACE, ETHNICITY, INCOME, FAMILIES WITH CHILDREN, ALL KINDS OF DIFFERENT THINGS THAT YOU AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC CAN USE TO IDENTIFY COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST.

AND WE ALSO HAVE TWO PUBLIC MATCHING TOOLS THAT WILL BE USED THROUGHOUT THIS PROCESS.

THE FIRST ONE, YOU MAY HAVE USED IT BEFORE, IN PART OF THE DISTRICTING PROCESS.

BUT IT IS A VERY EASY ONLINE TOOL CALLED DISTRICT R.

AND I'LL SHOW YOU
A SCREEN SHOT IN A
SECOND.

THE SECOND ONE IS
ALMOST
IDENTICALLY, THE
PAPER
PARTICIPATION KIT IS
FOR PEOPLE WHO
DON'T WANT TO USE
A COMPUTER OR
DON'T HAVE ACCESS
TO THE INTERNET SO
THEY CAN ALSO
DRAW MAPS.

SO DISTRICT R IS A
PAINTBRUSH TOOL.

SO WHAT YOU'RE
SEEING IS AN
EXAMPLE FROM
ANOTHER CITY.

BUT IT IS A VERY
SIMPLE INTERFACE
WHERE YOU SIMPLY
CLICK ON
PAINTBRUSH AND
YOU CAN DRAG ARE
IT OVER CITY
BLOCKS, CENSUS
BLOCKS, AND YOU
CAN DEFINE IN THAT
WAY YOUR
COMMUNITY OF

INTEREST, OR YOUR
DISTRICT.

SO YOU CAN USING
THE TOOL IN BOTH OF
THOSE WAYS AND
THAT TOOL WILL BE
AVAILABLE ON THE
REDISTRICTFREMONT
.ORG WEBSITE
WITHIN THE NEXT
MONTH.

SIMILARLY, WE DO
CREATE A PAPER KIT
THAT IS USUALLY A
LARGER PAPER MAP
THAT PEOPLE CAN
ACTUALLY DRAW ON
WITH A LITTLE
QUESTIONNAIRE ON
THE BACK ASKING
THEM TO EXPLAIN
WHY THEY DREW THE
LINES WHERE THEY
DID.

AND THIS IS
SOMETHING THAT
PEOPLE CAN TAKE
WITH THEM, TAKE IT
HOME MAIL IT BACK,
TAKE A PHOTO,
E MAIL THE PHOTO,
HOWEVER THEY
WANT TO DO IT.

SOME PEOPLE JUST
PREFER TO DO IT THE
OLD FASHIONED WAY
AND WE WANT TO
SUPPORT THAT IN
TERMS OF
ACCESSIBILITY FOR
EVERYONE IN THE
COMMUNITY.

THERE IS ALSO AN
EXCEL SETTLEMENTS
FOR FOLKS WHO
WANT TO USE A
PAPER MAP BUT ALSO
WANT TO DIG INTO
THAT POPULATION
DATA, AND MAKE
SURE THAT THEY ARE
DRAWING DISTRICTS
THAT ARE EQUAL IN
POPULATION SIZE
AND FOR
REDISTRICTING FOR
CITIES THEY DON'T
HAVE TO BE
EXACTLY EQUAL.

WE'RE ACTUALLY
LOOKING FOR A
TOTAL DEVIATION OF
LESS THAN 10%.

I LOOKED AT THE
PRELIMINARY
NUMBERS FOR
FREMONT.

WE DON'T HAVE THE
OFFICIAL NUMBERS
YET.

AND IT LOOKS LIKE
RIGHT NOW YOU'RE
SORT OF JUST
BARELY HITTING
THAT 10%.

SO WE'LL SEE, THAT
WILL CHANGE WHEN
WE GET THE FINAL
POPULATION DATA.

AND FINALLY, WE
LOOK FORWARD TO
LETTING THE PUBLIC
KNOW ABOUT THE
UPCOMING PUBLIC
HEARINGS, TO
PARTICIPATE IN THE
PROCESS.

WE LOOK FORWARD
TO LAUNCHING THE
ONLINE MAPPING
TOOL AND THE PAPER
MAPPING TOOL, AND
THERE'S A
BEAUTIFUL LOGO
THAT HAS BEEN
DESIGNED BY YOUR
STAFF, AND SO THIS
IS THE BEGINNING OF
WHAT WILL BE A
RELATIVELY

COMPRESSED
PROCESS.

BUT I'M LOOKING
FORWARD TO
WORKING WHERE
YOU ALL AS WE
MOVE FORWARD.

THANK YOU, AND I'M
HAPPY TO ANSWER
ANY QUESTIONS
THAT
COUNCILMEMBERS
OR THE MAYOR MAY
HAVE.

>> GREAT, THANK
YOU KRISTEN.

AND JUST AS A
REMINDER, THERE IS
NO FORMAL ACTION
NEEDED TO BE
TAKEN TONIGHT.

WE JUST WANTED TO
GIVE YOU AN
OVERVIEW OF THE
PROCESS.

AND AS KRISTEN
MENTIONED THERE
WILL BE UPCOMING
PUBLIC HEARINGS
BEGINNING IN THE
FALL.

SO OPEN TO ANY
QUESTIONS THAT
YOU ALL MAY HAVE.

>> Mayor Mei: YES.

AT THIS TIME, I'D
LIKE TO CALL ON
ANY OF THE
COUNCILMEMBERS
TO SEE IF THEY HAVE
A CLARIFYING YES.

SEEING NONE, I'D
LIKE TO BRING IT
BACK TO THE PUBLIC
COMMENT AND I'D
LIKE TO OPEN THE
PUBLIC COMMENT
PERIOD, AND THE
FIRST SPEAKER I SEE
IS BLAIR BEEKMAN.

THANK YOU.

>> HI.

BLAIR BEEKMAN
HERE.

THANK YOU FOR THIS
ITEM.

IT WAS NICE TO
LEARN ABOUT.

I'M LIVING IN OR NOT
LIVING IN BUT I DO A

LOT OF WORK IN SAN
JOSE.

AND THEY'RE GOING
THROUGH A VERY
SERIOUS
REDISTRICTING
PROCESS THAT'S
HAVING A WHOLE
TASK FORCE
COMMITTEE, IT'S AN
OPEN PUBLIC
PROCESS.

IT COULD BE GOOD
LEARNING
EXPERIENCE FOR
YOURSELVES WHAT
THEY'RE DOING.

LONG BEACH IS
OFTEN USED AS AN
EXAMPLE KIND OF IN
WAYS WHAT NOT TO
DO.

AND SAN JOSE IS
IMPROVING UPON
THAT CONCEPT AND
HOW TO WORK
TOWARDS MORE
EQUITABLE WAYS OF
THE FUTURE OF
REDISTRICTING.

I MEAN I GUESS
THAT'S THE TERM
NOW, BUT HOW DO

WE CONSIDER THE
MANY DIFFERENT
CULTURES AND
GROUPS THAT ARE
WITHIN A CITY?

AND SAN JOSE IS
DEVELOPING SOME
INTERESTING WAYS
TO DO THAT AT THIS
TIME.

AND I'M VERY MUCH
FOR, YOU KNOW, I
THINK THIS CAN
REALLY BE A
DECADE OF DEFINING
GOOD VOTING
RIGHTS FOR
OURSELVES.

AND SO IT CAN BE A
REAL INTERESTING
TIME.

GOOD LUCK IN THIS
WORK AND EFFORTS.

I KNOW IN FREMONT
TO FIND LIKE
POPULATION, YOU
KNOW, PROJECTIONS
FOR THE NEXT TEN
YEARS AND POSSIBLY
SOME ECONOMIC
MODELS, LOOK TO
THE FREMONT UNION
SCHOOL DISTRICT.

THEY TALK ABOUT
THESE THINGS IN
THEIR PUBLIC
MEETINGS OFTEN.

AND THEY'RE
EXPECTING
SOMEWHAT OF A
DECLINE IN
POPULATION OVER
THE NEXT DECADE
AND THERE COULD
BE A NUMBER OF
FACTORS.

ECONOMIC AND
OTHERWISE.

I YOU KNOW, I'M LIKE
THAT LONE PERSON
THERE AT THIS TIME,
HAVE TO USE THOSE
SORT OF WORDS, BUT
DID I BRING UP THE
POINT THAT WE MAY
POSSIBLY BE HAVING
A LARGE NATURAL
DISASTER IN THE
NEXT FEW YEARS
HOPEFULLY THAT
CAN WORK IN TO,
YOU KNOW,
HOWEVER YOU NEED
TO THINK OF THIS
SITUATION AND PLAN
FOR THE NEXT TEN
YEARS AND LIKE, FOR
INSTANCE, IF WE ARE

HAVING A LARGE
EARTHQUAKE IN THE
NEXT FEW YEARS,
YOU CAN PLAN FOR
THAT INTO YOUR
REDISTRICTING
PLANS AND MAPS.

I DON'T KNOW HOW
ACCURATE THAT
SORT OF
INFORMATION IS, BUT
IT'S SOMETHING YOU
CAN WORK OFF OF
AND FIGURE OUT FOR
YOURSELF HOW
CORRECT IT IS AND
GO FROM THERE.

THAT'S MY HELP AND
ADVICE AND GOOD
LUCK HOW FREMONT
WILL BE
REDISTRICTING
THEMSELVES FOR
THIS NEXT DECADE
AND WE'RE PAST THE
TRUMP ERA AND THIS
SHOULD BE THE TIME
WE CAN REALLY BE
OPEN AND HONEST
WITH EACH OTHER
THAT WE CAN HAVE
GOOD PRACTICES IN
VOTING AND IT'S A
GOOD EXPERIENCE.

I THINK WE PROVED
THAT'S POSSIBLE AND
I HOPE WE WORKED
TOWARDS THOSE
GOOD STEPS IN THIS
COMING DECADE.

THANK YOU.

>> Mayor Mei: THANK
YOU.

THE NEXT PUBLIC
SPEAKER IS GOING TO
BE KELLY ABRIEU.

WELCOME.

>> ON THE ISSUE OF
REDISTRICTING IS
VERY INTERESTING.

AND I'M NOT
SPEAKING
APPROACHING THE
ISSUE ALL BY
MYSELF.

WE DO HAVE A
COALITION.

THE ALAMEDA
COUNTY COALITION
FOR FAIR
REDISTRICTING IS
ADDRESSING THE
PREVIOUS SPEAKER
TALKED BE ABOUT
SOME PLACE IN

SOUTHERN
CALIFORNIA THAT IS
SOME KIND OF
EXAMPLE OF
AMAZINGLY BAD
DISTRICTING,
GERRYMANDERED
DISTRICTING, WELL, I
GIVE YOU THE
ALAMEDA COUNTY
BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS.

THE FOR EXAMPLE,
HALF OF FREMONT IS
DIVIDED.

IN FREMONT WE
HAVE A CONCEPT OF
THE TRICITY BUT
THAT CONCEPT IS
NOT RESPECTED IN
THE DISTRICT MAPS.

IT COMBINES WE
ARE COMBINING
FREMONT WITH
LIVERMORE.

AND THE
TRI VALLEY THEY
HAVE A CONCEPT OF
THE TRI VALLEY BUT
THAT'S NOT
RESPECTED BY THE
BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS OF
ALAMEDA COUNTY.

THE EDEN, EDEN IS
AN HISTORICAL AREA
AND THE AREAS
AROUND IT, THAT'S
NOT RESPECTED BY
THEIR LEADERS
EITHER.

THE CITY OF
FREMONT WHEN IT
REDISTRICTED CAME
UP WITH A FEDERATE
GOOD RESULT.

HOWEVER THERE IS
ONE GLARING ERROR
IN THE MAP OR A
GERRYMANDERED
PORTION OF THE
MAP.

WHICH HAS
BEEN WHICH HAS
BEEN PAPERED OVER.

THERE ARE
REDISTRICTING
EXPERTS ALL OVER
THE PLACE.

I HAVE HEARD SO
MANY LECTURES
AND TALKS ABOUT
REDISTRICTING
USING THE EXAMPLE
OF FREMONT'S MAP
AND POINTING TO
THE DIVISION OF

CENTERVILLE OF
GLENMOOR, THE
SPLITS OF GLENMOOR
AS BEING SOME KIND
OF DRIVEN BY DID
ASIAN COMMUNITY.

BUT IF YOU GO BACK
AND LOOK AT THE
OLD MAP YOU'LL SEE
THAT THE ASIAN
COMMUNITY AT
THAT TIME, SOME OF
THEIR LEGAL
REPRESENTATIVES
SAID THAT THEY
SUPPORTED THE
CLEANER MAP.

AND WHERE IS THE
CLEANER MAP?

IT IS NOT ON THE
CITY WEBSITE.

YOU KNOW THAT THE
CITY OF FREMONT IS
REQUIRED TO
MAINTAIN MAPS FOR
TEN YEARS, AND I
SHOULD BE ABLE TO
GO TO THE CITY
WEBSITE WHICH I
JUST DID AND LOOK
FOR OLD MAPS NOT
JUST THE ADOPTED
MAP.

BUT ALL THOSE MAP
PROPOSALS.

THEY HAVE
DISAPPEARED FROM
THE MAPS.

I HAD TO GO DIG
THEM OUT OF THE
INTERNET.

CITY OF FREMONT
NEEDS TO RESTORE
THE MAPS, PUT THEM
ON THE WEBSITE,
THAT DISTRICTS 2
AND DISTRICT 3
NEEDS TO BE
CLEANED UP.

THERE WAS A MAP
THAT HAD A CLEAN
VERSION OF THAT.

GLENMOOR NEEDS
TO BE NOT DIVIDED,
NEEDS TO BE SLIPPED
ON A RATIONAL
BASIS.

THIS IS A SLIPPERY
SLOPE.

ONCE YOU START
ADJUSTING A BIT
LIKE WE DID FOUR
YEARS AGO LIKE
DISTRICT 4 AND

DISTRICT 3, IT WILL
END UP JUST AS BAD
AS THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS OF
ALAMEDA COUNTY.

>> Mayor Mei: THANK
YOU.

AND AT THIS TIME I'M
GOING TO TURN IT
BACK TO THE
COUNCIL FOR A
CONVERSATION ON
THIS TOPIC.

IF THERE'S ANY
OTHER COMMENTS.

COUNCILMEMBER
KASSAN.

>> Councilmember
Kassan: YES, I JUST
HAVE TO SAY I
REALLY DO AGREE
WITH KELLY, THAT
LINE IS DRAWN IS
PRETTY DARN
STRANGE.

AND ANYONE WHO
LISTENS BACK TO
THE CONVERSATION
BEST WHERE TO
DRAW IT WILL
PROBABLY KNOW
WHY IT WAS DRAWN

THE WAY IT WAS
DRAWN.

I'LL JUST LEAVE IT
THERE BUT IT WASN'T
REALLY THE RIGHT
THING TO DID.

AND I HOPE IT WILL
BE CORRECTED THIS
TIME AROUND,
THANK YOU.

>> Mayor Mei: THANK
YOU.

I DON'T SEE ANY
OTHER COMMENTS
FROM THE
COUNCILMEMBERS.

I'LL MAKE A
GENERAL COMMENT
WHICH IS THAT THAT
WAS OUR FIRST TIME
I THINK AND IT'S
BEEN A VERY
CHALLENGING
PROCESS IN THE PAST
WHEN WE HAD TO
THE SUDDENLY
MOVE FROM AT
LARGE TO DISTRICT
ELECTIONS.

BUT IT WAS ONE
THAT I WOULD FULLY
SUPPORT AGAIN

THAT WE DO OUR
BEST IN TERMS OF
OUTREACH.

I KNOW THAT ONE OF
MY BIGGEST
CONCERNS IS THAT
WE REACH OUR
COMMUNITIES OF
FAITH AND
COMMUNITIES OF
COLOR AND ALSO
JUST IN GENERAL
WITH ALSO WORKING
PARTNERING WITH A
LOT OF OUR LOCAL
COMMUNITY BASED
ORGANIZATIONS TO
MAKE SURE THAT
PEOPLE ARE GIVEN
THE OPPORTUNITY
AND AFFORDED THAT
PARTICIPATION
OPPORTUNITIES.

THAT MEANS
WORKING WITH
DIFFERENT
LANGUAGES, AND I
THINK LAST TIME WE
HAD OVER EIGHT
LANGUAGES WHERE
WE DID OUTREACH
AND THEN ALSO IN
TERMS OF WE HAVE
THE SCHOOL FOR THE
DEAF AND THE

SCHOOL FOR THE
BLIND.

SO I LIKE TO MAKE
SURE THAT WE ARE
DOING OUTREACH
AND ALL DIFFERENT
OPTIONS TO MAKE
SURE FROM THE
PUBLIC AND I
STRONGLY
ENCOURAGE MY
COUNCILMEMBERS
AND THOSE WHO ARE
WATCHING TO HELP
US FACILITATE THE
AWARENESS OF THIS
PROCESS.

IT IS A LITTLE BIT
HINDERED THIS TIME
BECAUSE OF THE
FACT THAT WE ARE
AWAITING THE
CENSUS DATA.

BUT WHEN THAT
BECOMES AVAILABLE
AND AS WE HAVE
THE SCHEDULE
AGAIN I KNOW THAT
WE ARE GOING TO BE
PUTTING THIS ON
OUR WEBSITE, WE
ALREADY HAVE BUT I
WOULD ECHO THE
SENTIMENT FROM
THE PUBLIC ON

TERMS OF IF THERE
ARE PAST MAPS THAT
WE CAN PROVIDE
THOSE.

BUT CERTAINLY
THESE PAST MAPS
THAT WERE
PRESENTED MAY NOT
TAKE INTO ACCOUNT
THE CHANGES WE
ARE SEEING IN SOME
OF THE FEDERAL
GUIDELINES, THE
NEW GUIDELINES
THAT HAVE BEEN PUT
INTO PLACE IN TERMS
OF THE CALIFORNIA
FAIR MAP ACT, AND
EVEN THOUGH THOSE
WERE PROPOSALS IN
THOSE TIMES THEY
MAY NOT BE
REFLECTIVE OF THE
POLICIES THAT MAY
HAVE BEEN
IMPLEMENTED
HENCE.

SO WE WANT TO
MAKE SURE THAT WE
ADAPT TO THOSE.

SO I REALLY THANK
ALL OF YOU FOR THIS
PRESENTATION.

THANK YOU TO OUR
MANAGEMENT
ANALYST AMANDA
GAYO AND ALSO FOR
KRISTEN PARKS FOR
THE PRESENTATION
THIS EVENING.

WITH THAT I WAS
GOING TO SAY I'M
NOT SURE IF THERE IS
ANY OTHER
COMMENTS.

I WANTED TO MAKE
ONE GENERAL
COMMENT WHICH IS
THAT I WANT TO
SEND MY BEST HOPES
AND GODSPEED TO
OUR TEAMS THAT
ARE OUT RIGHT NOW.

WE HAVE TWO
TEAMS OF OUR FIRE
DEPARTMENT THAT
ARE OUT WITH A
STRIKE TEAM.

AND FOR ALL THOSE
NEIGHBORHOODS IN
OUR SURROUNDING
AREAS WHO ARE
FACING SOME OF THE
CHALLENGES THAT
WE FACED LAST
YEAR MY HEART
GOES OUT TO ALL OF

THEM AND I'M VERY
APPRECIATIVE TO
THEIR COLLEAGUES
AND FOR OUR
ABILITY TO SUPPORT
OTHERS AT THIS
MOMENT.

AND FINALLY IF YOU
HAVE NOT GOTTEN
THROUGH THE
VACCINATION
PROCESS PLEASE DO
SO.

I WANTED TO SHARE
REAL BRIEFLY THIS
EVENING THAT I'M
REALLY PLEASED TO
SEE THAT OUR CITY
HAS REACHED
ANOTHER
THRESHOLD WHICH I
THINK IS IMPORTANT
THAT WE HAVE BEEN
STRIVING FOR AND
I'M VERY PROUD
THAT WE ARE
TAKING ON THE U.S.
CONFERENCE OF
MAYORS CHALLENGE
AND ALSO THE WHITE
HOUSE AND
PRESIDENT BIDEN
AND WE ARE JUST
PAST 90% FOR ONE
SHOT IN THE ARM

AND WE ARE AT
OVER 76% FULLY
VACCINATED.

WHICH IS IMPORTANT
AS AN OPPORTUNITY
AND I WOULD SAY
THAT FOR THOSE
WHO HAVE NOT BEEN
ABLE TO DO SO, TO
REACH OUT TO THEIR
HEALTH CARE
PROVIDERS AND I
THANK ALL THE
PEOPLE WHO HAVE
PARTNERED WITH US,
WHETHER IT IS
HELLER'S
PHARMACY, THOSE
WHO HAVE BEEN
HELPING US I WOULD
ALSO RECOMMEND
AND ENCOURAGE
PEOPLE THAT THEY
STILL NEED TO BE
GETTING TESTED IF
THEY NEEDED TO BE
SURE BECAUSE THIS
IS A GOING TO BE
KEY TO US.

THANK YOU TO ALL
OF THOSE WHO ARE
HELPING US TO
SLOWLY REOPEN.

LAST WEEK WE HAD
OUR FIRST ACTIVE

FREMONT EVENT
AND I'M LOOKING
FORWARD TO THE
NEXT ONES THAT ARE
COMING AND I
ENCOURAGE PEOPLE
THE GET OUT AND
GET FRESH AIR AND
EXERCISE AND TO
GET FIT WITH ALL OF
US AND GETTING TO
KNOW YOUR
NEIGHBORS IN YOUR
DISTRICTS.

AND I KNOW EACH OF
MY
COUNCILMEMBERS
ARE TAKING ON
SOME OF THESE
CHALLENGES AND
ENCOURAGING ALL
OF YOU TO JOIN.

WE ALSO HAD OUR
FIRST FREMONT
STREET EATS WHICH
IS VERY IMPORTANT
FOR US TO BE ABLE
TO BRING BACK
SOME OF THE
SUPPORT FOR OUR
TRUCKS, FOOD
TRUCKS AS WELL AS
OUR LOCAL
BUSINESSES AND
THEN THIS

THURSDAY EVENING,
I HOPE SOME OF YOU
WILL JOIN ME AT THE
PARKS FOR OUR
FIRST IN OUR
SUMMER CONCERT
SERIES.

SO I JUST WANT TO
ENCOURAGE ALL OF
YOU THAT WE CAN
WORK TOGETHER TO
BE SAFE BE WELL
AND BE HEALTHY.

SO WITH THAT I
WOULD CLOSE THE
MEETING TO CLOSE
AT 8:27 P.M.

THANK YOU VERY
MUCH, BE SAFE AND
STAY HEALTHY.